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1. Type approval emission Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diesel</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>NMHC</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>HC + NOx</th>
<th>PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro 1</td>
<td>July 1992</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 2</td>
<td>January 1996</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 3</td>
<td>January 2000</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 4</td>
<td>January 2005</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 5a</td>
<td>September 2009</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 5b</td>
<td>September 2011</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 6</td>
<td>September 2014</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Petrol</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>NMHC</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>HC + NOx</th>
<th>PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro 1</td>
<td>July 1992</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 2</td>
<td>January 1996</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 3</td>
<td>January 2000</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 4</td>
<td>January 2005</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 5</td>
<td>September 2009</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 6</td>
<td>September 2014</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 - Type approval emission Limits (g/km) of the successively introduced Euro emission standards for passenger cars
2. Technologies for the control of NO\(_x\) emissions

Diesel engines run almost always in a lean environment, it is with an excess of air. Temperature is rising when the air is compressed in the cylinders. At the moment when the fuel is injected it will auto ignite due to the suitable temperature and conditions that are created in the cylinders. Stoichiometric engines emit more engine-out NO\(_x\) than lean engines, but these are relative easy to control with after treatment systems. PM and NO\(_x\) emissions are for diesel engines a concern and a challenge to control, more than the HC and CO emissions due to the lean operation. PM emissions were the subject of earlier CITA studies (CITA, 2011; CITA, 2015). This study will focus on the NO\(_x\) emissions of diesel vehicles. Technologies for reducing NO\(_x\) include in-cylinder as well as after treatment technologies. The most important in-cylinder emission control systems are (Posada, Bandivadkar & German, 2012):

- Fuel injection
- Air handling
- EGR

Tighter NO\(_x\) emission levels (For Europe from 0,5 g/km for Euro 3 vehicles towards 0,08 g/km for Euro 6; for type approval emission limits see Annex 1) require after treatment control. Bishop and Stedman (2008) concluded in their study of US national wide datasets of 10 years (1997-2007) remote sensing that the majority of on-road emissions reductions over the years are the results of continued improvements in function and durability of vehicle emission control systems.

In this chapter the in-cylinder EGR emission control and the main after treatment systems for reducing NO\(_x\) will be discussed after an introduction on the formation of NO\(_x\) in diesel engines.

2.1. Formation of NO and NO\(_2\) in diesel engines

The major part of the NO emissions is formed from the diesel combustion of near-stoichiometric fuel-air mixtures by the oxidation of atmospheric nitrogen via the extended Zeldovich mechanism\(^1\) (Heywood, 1988):

\[
O + N_2 \leftrightarrow NO + N \tag{1}
\]
\text{Equation 1: Formation of NO, Zeldovich part 1}

\[
N + O_2 \leftrightarrow NO + O \tag{2}
\]
\text{Equation 2: Formation of NO, Zeldovich part 2}

\[
N + OH \leftrightarrow NO + H \tag{3}
\]
\text{Equation 3: Formation of NO, Lavoie, Heywood & Keck (1970)}

The formation of NO is highly temperature dependent. The forward reaction of Equation 1 and the reverse reactions of Equation 2 and Equation 3 have large activations energies with temperature ranges.

---

\(^1\) Zeldovich was the first to suggest the importance of reactions equation 1 and 2. Lavoie, Heywood & Keck (1970) added equation 3 to the mechanism (Heywood, 1988).
of 2000 K – 5000 K, 1000 K – 3000 K and 2200 K – 4500 K respectively. NO stays around during cooling since the reverse reaction is very slow.

The contribution of fuel nitrogen as a source of formation of NO is less significant than the Zeldovich mechanism.

At typical flame temperatures the NO$_2$/NO ratio should be very small taken into account chemical equilibrium considerations. It is true for spark ignition engines, but for Diesel engines NO$_2$ can be from 10% up to 30% of the total NO$_x$ emissions. NO$_2$ is formed since the produced NO, formed in the flame zone can be rapidly converted to NO$_2$ by the reaction explained in Equation 4.

\[
NO + HO_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + OH
\]

*Equation 4: Formation of NO$_2$*

However, NO$_2$ can be converted back to NO via Equation 5.

\[
NO_2 + O \rightarrow NO + O_2
\]

*Equation 5: NO$_2$ is converted back to NO*

Equation 5 will be less effective when the NO$_2$ formed in the flame is quenched by mixing with cooler fluids. This is also what happens with the use of exhaust gas recirculation (EGR). Diesel Engines at light loads have a widespread of cooler regions which could quench the conversation back to NO, and thus a higher fraction of NO$_2$ occurs at light loads and depends on engine speed. (Heywood, 1988).

It is clear that the diffusion flame is the place where oxygen is available together with nitrogen at high temperature and thus of the diesel combustion process, the ideal region for the formation of NO$_x$.

Controlling nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) emissions from Euro 6 diesel passenger cars is one of the biggest technical challenges facing car manufacturers. Some main technologies are available for this purpose:

- inner-engine modifications coupled with exhaust gas recirculation (EGR);
- lean-burn NO$_x$ absorbers (also called lean NO$_x$ traps, or LNTs);
- Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR).
- Lean NO$_x$ catalysts (also called hydrocarbon-SCR)

### 2.2. Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR)

Exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) is used in both gasoline and diesel engines. A fraction of exhaust gas is rerouted to the combustion chamber where it dilutes the incoming air by replacing oxygen with carbon dioxide and water vapour to lower the local flame temperature and the production of engine-out NO$_x$. Although the principle in both engines is the same, the way EGR initially was applied to those two engines is different. Since gasoline engines works with a stoichiometric air-fuel mixture and the torque and power output of the engine are required to main constant with and without an EGR, the EGR mass trapped in the engine is in addition to the mass of air-fuel mixture. A diesel engine, on the other hand, uses as much air as is practicable to trap at a given engine running condition. Application of EGR results of the displacement of the intake air by the added EGR volume and thus less trapped air in the cylinder will be available for combustion. The amount of fuel injected for a given power output and torque is
constant so that with EGR the engine will operate with a lower overall air-fuel ratio. Ladommatos, Abdelhalim & Zhao (2000) concluded in their research that adding EGR to the air flow rate of a diesel engine (like with gasoline engines), rather than displaying some of the inlet air, appears to be a more beneficial way since the increased particulate emissions would be less.

There are two types of EGR:
- high-pressure EGR captures the exhaust gas prior to the turbocharger;
- low-pressure EGR exhaust gas is drawn after the diesel particulate filter (DPF) and returns it to the intercooler. This system ensures that large amounts of particulate matter are not recirculated to the engine which would result in accelerated wear in the engine and soot build up in EGR pipes.

Both approaches can be used in combination (Yang et al, 2015).

This way of reducing NO\textsubscript{x} occurs with lower thermal efficiency and higher PM emissions following the NO\textsubscript{x}-PM trade-off. This trade-off restricts the use of EGR to intermediate and low engine loads.

Ladommatos et al. (2000) investigated the effects of exhaust gas recirculation on diesel combustion and emissions. They separated five effects:
- Reduction of the inlet charge mass (thermal throttling effect of EGR)
- Reduction in the oxygen concentration of the inlet charge (dilution effect)
- Introduction of combustion products into the inlet charge (chemical effect)
- Rise in the heat absorption capacity of the inlet charge (thermal effect)
- Rise in the temperature of the inlet charge.

These five effects could be explained by using Figure 1.

- Reduction of the inlet charge mass is seen in Figure 1 where the mass flow rate is reduced from 49 g/s to 42 g/s after the application of the hot EGR. The replacement of relatively dense cool air by less dense hot EGR gives a rise in the inlet charge temperature which leads to a drop in engine volumetric efficiency and thus a reduction in charge mass rate. The reduction in inlet charge mass is also known as the thermal throttling effect of EGR and raises the PM emissions. EGR cooling would ameliorate the engine volumetric efficiency, and thus reduce the inlet charge mass rate.

- EGR causes a substantial reduction in the oxygen mass flow rate. The main part is related by the lower volumetric efficiency (thermal throttling), but a part is caused by the fact that the EGR is less rich in oxygen than the air it is replacing. Dis part of reduction of the oxygen concentration is known as the dilution effect of EGR. This effect where O\textsubscript{2} in the inlet charge is replaced by CO\textsubscript{2} and water vapour is the most important for the changes in NO\textsubscript{x} and particulate emissions. The reduction of O\textsubscript{2} concentration in the intake air reduces the flame temperature significantly.
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- EGR contains carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) and water vapour (H\textsubscript{2}O). These combustion products can dissociate at high temperature to chemical radicals e.g. atomic oxygen and hydroxyl which could participate in the formation of NO (Zeldovich mechanism). This chemical effect has only a minor influence on NO\textsubscript{x} and particulate emissions.

- Due to both carbon dioxide and water vapour, the reacted exhaust gas components, having higher specific heat capacity values \(c_p\) than the replaced components nitrogen and oxygen, the use of EGR will have the so called thermal effect as the average specific heat capacity \(c_p\) of the inlet charge will be a little higher (+/- 1%). By this effect the combustion temperature is lowered. However, since the change in heat capacity is minor in diesel engines, the thermal effect for NO\textsubscript{x} reducing is considered insignificant.

- Finally, when intake air is mixed with hot EGR the intake charge will have a higher temperature and consequently leads to a higher flame temperature. The increase of temperature result in both higher NO\textsubscript{x} emissions and in substantially higher emissions of PM. Therefore the recycled exhaust gas needs to be cooled as also suggested by the thermal throttling effect of EGR.

The effect of EGR on emissions can be seen in Table 3 which contains the regulatory emissions from 3 vehicles tested over the Type 1 type approval test during the Low Emission Diesel Research study when the vehicles’ EGR units were operational and disabled (Norris, 2005). The size of increase in NO\textsubscript{x} for these 3 vehicles caused by failure of an EGR unit as an average percentage relative to when the EGR unit was operational was +87%.
### 2.3. Lean NO\textsubscript{x} trap (LNT)

In a Lean NO\textsubscript{x} trap (LNT) NO\textsubscript{x} is absorbed onto a catalyst during lean (i.e. oxygen rich) engine operation. When the catalyst is saturated, the system is regenerated in short time of fuel-rich operation during which NO\textsubscript{x} is catalytically reduced (Yang, Franco, Campestrini, German & Mock, 2015).

In the white paper of the Manufacturers of Emission Controls Association [MECA] (2007), the mechanism is explained:

1. Conversion of NO to NO\textsubscript{2} using an oxidation catalyst e.g. a noble metal substrate like platinum
2. Storing NO\textsubscript{2} as nitrate on alkaline earth oxides. Both oxidation steps (point 1 & 2) occur in the same device and works in a temperature windows (250°C to 500°C)
3. Regenerations occurs in two steps:
   a. In a one or two seconds rich operation the stored NO\textsubscript{x} is desorbed
   b. Provide the condition for a conventional three-way catalyst mounted downstream to reduce NO\textsubscript{x} to nitrogen.

Lean NO\textsubscript{x} traps absorb also sulphur oxides. Therefore, fuels with very low sulphur content like European “zero” sulphur fuel which contains less than 10 ppm sulphur are required. Periodically the system has to run an automatically short “de-sulphating” cycle under rich conditions and high temperatures to remove them. (http://www.aecc.be/en/Technology/Adsorbers.html).
For smaller lean burn passenger cars the LNT is the leading DeNO\textsubscript{x} concept. These vehicles have a limited space which makes urea usage (SCR) difficult. LNT efficiency is normally up to 80 % which is lower than the SCR systems which have efficiency rates above 95 % (Johnson, 2013).

The mean challenges for this technology are according to Schnitzler (2009):

- DeNO\textsubscript{x} regeneration by engine internal measures in terms of drivability and driver transparency
- Limited DENO\textsubscript{x} regeneration operation area
- Sulphur poisoning / desulphurization
- Reliable desulphurization strategy
- Long-term stability / thermal aging
- DeNO\textsubscript{x} and DeSO\textsubscript{x} management / complexity of after treatment control

2.4. Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR)

A SCR system reduces NO\textsubscript{x} to gaseous nitrogen and water in the presence of ammonia. The transportation of pure ammonia is not desired and advisable. Most light-duty applications use an aqueous urea solution (diesel exhaust fluid AdBlue\textsuperscript{TM}) as an ammonia precursor (Yang et al., 2015).

A typical SCR system consists of three different catalysts in series after the urea injection point (Hussain, Palaniradja, Algumurthi & Manimaran, 2012):

- Hydrolysis catalyst which converts the urea to ammonia (NH\textsubscript{3}) and carbon dioxide;

- SCR catalyst where the ammonia reacts with NO\textsubscript{x} to form nitrogen and water. The conversion efficiency is a function of exhaust gas composition (NO\textsubscript{2} to NO ratio). The three common NO\textsubscript{x} reduction reactions are (MECA, 2007):

  \[
  4\text{NH}_3 + 4\text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 4\text{N}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}
  \]
  \(\text{Equation 6: SCR NO}_x\text{ reduction reaction 1}\)

  \[
  2\text{NH}_3 + \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{N}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}
  \]
  \(\text{Equation 7: SCR NO}_x\text{ reduction reaction 2}\)

  \[
  8\text{NH}_3 + 6\text{NO}_2 \rightarrow 7\text{N}_2 + 12\text{H}_2\text{O}
  \]
  \(\text{Equation 8: SCR NO}_x\text{ reduction reaction 3}\)

Vanadia based catalyst (first generation) shows better low temperature conversions than iron zeolite catalysts. However, the latter has no efficiency drop off above 400°C, but maintains peak efficiency above 500°C.

- Oxidation catalyst to avoid ammonia slip during transient operation
Applying SCR to diesel-powered vehicles provides simultaneous reductions of NO\textsubscript{x}, PM (up to 20%-30%) and HC (up to 80%) emissions (MECA, 2007). It allows diesel engine developers to take advantage of the trade-off between NO\textsubscript{x}, PM and fuel consumption.

The quantity of injected urea needs to be carefully controlled:

- too little may cause some NO\textsubscript{x} to pass through the after-treatment system unconverted,
- too much will cause ammonia to be emitted from the tailpipe.

The aim is to model the engine-out NO\textsubscript{x} sufficiently accurate so that engine-out NO\textsubscript{x} emissions may reduce the after-treatment requirement e.g. engine-out NO\textsubscript{x} sensors and ammonia oxidation catalysts may be deleted (Cambustion, n.d. a). In an open loop system, urea is added at a rate calculated by estimating the amount of NO\textsubscript{x} present in the exhaust stream based on parameters as rpm, exhaust temperature, backpressure and load. The close loop system uses NO\textsubscript{x} sensors that directly measure the NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations in the exhaust.

SCR technology is still improving. The DeNO\textsubscript{x} efficiency has been increased over the years from 94% in 2012, over 94% in 2013 and should be 96% today in 2016. Latest research has focussed on high temperature durability and the performances at low temperature (175°C – 200°C). They increased by 15% from generation 3 to the generation 5 SCR’s. Best performances are to be noticed around 275°C. (Johnson, 2013; Johnson, 2014).

The mean challenges for this technology are according to Schnitzler (2009):

- Reliable urea injection
- Uniform ammonia distribution in the exhaust
- NO\textsubscript{x} neutral SCR-catalyst heating-up strategy
- Dosing strategy
- Ammonia slip
- Vehicle package
- System costs
2.5. Lean NO\textsubscript{x} catalysts (hydrocarbon-SCR)

In a lean NO\textsubscript{x} catalyst, hydrocarbons, as opposed to ammonia in an SCR catalyst, are used to create a rich microclimate in order to reduce NO\textsubscript{x} in a lean exhaust. The hydrocarbons in the exhaust can either be the HC’s present in the exhaust after the combustion (by e.g. in-cylinder injection modifications) or additional fuel that is direct injected into the exhaust gas. (Hussain et al, 2015; http://www.aecc.be/en/Technology/Catalysts.html).

The catalyst works efficiently only in a tight temperature window. Lean NO\textsubscript{x} catalysts achieve in general up to 35 % efficiency (although higher efficiencies of 70 % are shown), but the need of a hydrocarbon reductant gives a fuel penalty of up to 6% (Hussain et al, 2015). The advantage of this system is that you no longer need to use an additional reductant such as urea.

Lean NO\textsubscript{x} catalysts are used in the U.S. for diesel retrofit applications (MECA, 2007).

2.6. Combined LNT / SCR NO\textsubscript{x} reduction technologies

The market shares of the different NO\textsubscript{x} control technologies are presented for the EU and the US in Figure 2 and Figure 3. As already mentioned the market share for Diesel vehicles in Europe is significantly higher than in the US. In Europe the LNT technology is mostly used, in contradiction with the SCR technology in the US. Combined LNT/SCR technologies were introduced earlier in the US than in Europe.

The available after treatment solutions present a great variety. Different types of catalysts, mainly V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, WO\textsubscript{5}, TiO\textsubscript{2} or zeolite formulations (Fe-ZSM5 and Cu-ZSM5) are used in the automotive industry (Chatterjee, Koci, Schmeisser, Marek Weibel & Krutzsch, 2010). Furthermore, different concepts are
used e.g. in wall-flow, flow-through, single-layer, dual-layer, DPF with LNT coating or DPF with SCR coating technologies.

Based on the engine load map as seen in Figure 4 AECC, the Association for Emissions Control by Catalyst indicate that for different areas of the engine load map other abatement technologies applies optimally and have the best DeNO\textsubscript{x} efficiencies. DeNO\textsubscript{x} optimization is possible by combining different technologies to reach optimum emission reduction.

![Engine load map with LNT, SCR, and EGR regions](image)

Figure 4 - figure descriptive technologies effectiveness from DeNO\textsubscript{x} optimalisation (Taken from the Association for Emissions Control by Catalyst [AECC], 2015.)

An after treatment system layout has to be designed for each individual vehicle application, taking into account decisive factors as required NO\textsubscript{x} conversion rates, specific boundaries of the legislative test cycles and available space in the vehicle (Schnitzler, 2009).

Moreover, combined LNT/SCR technologies have the advantage not requiring separate on-board reductants like urea. This principle is explained in Figure 5.

In the LNT, during the regeneration time (rich environment for a few seconds) ammonia is formed which is then stored in the SCR catalyst. During lean operation primarily NO\textsubscript{x} will be reduced by the LNT and the SCR uses the stored ammonia to further reduce NO\textsubscript{x}.
Figure 5 - Principle of the combined gas after treatment system. (Taken from Chatterjee et al., 2010)

Note: NSCR = NO\textsubscript{x} reduction catalyst or lean NO\textsubscript{x} trap LNT.

These LNT or SCR combinations can also be combined with DPF of DOC so that different possibilities are described, e.g.:

- LNT – DPF (Schnitzler, 2009)
- DOC / DPF – LNT (Schnitzler, 2009)
- LNT(A) / DPF – LNT(B) (Schnitzler, 2009)
- DOC – Mixer – SCR – DOC – DPF (Schnitzler, 2009)
- DOC / DPF – Mixer – SCR / DOC (Schnitzler, 2009)
- LNT – SCR in a parallel design via bypass over the LNT (MECA, 2007)
- LNT – DPF – SCR (MECA, 2007)

Possible abatement systems are also shown in Figure 6.
### 2.7. Overview of the main technologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Lean NO(_x) Trap (LNT)</th>
<th>Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)</th>
<th>Exhaust gas recirculation (EGR)</th>
<th>Combined SCR and LNT (SCRLNT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO(_x) is adsorbed on a catalyst during lean engine operation. When the catalyst is saturated, the system is regenerated in short periods of fuel-rich operation during which NO(_x) is catalytically reduced.</td>
<td>A catalyst reduces NO(_x) to gaseous nitrogen and water in the presence of ammonia. Most high-duty applications use an aqueous urea solution (diesel exhaust fluid, AdBlue(^\text{TM})) as an ammonia precursor.</td>
<td>A fraction of exhaust gas is recirculated to the combustion chamber to lower combustion temperature and the production of engine-out NO(_x). For high-pressure EGR, exhaust gas is drawn from upstream of the turbine; for low-pressure EGR, exhaust gas is drawn from after the EGR. Both approaches can be used in combination.</td>
<td>An SCR unit downstream of the LNT allows higher NO(_x) conversion efficiencies. The ammonia synthesized by LNT reacts with NO(_x) in the SCR.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Typical application | Light-duty vehicles with engine displacements below 2 liters (<2.0 L) | Light-duty vehicles with engine displacements above 2 liters (>2.0 L) | Widespread deployment from Euro 3 to Euro 6. The application of EGR and other NO\(_x\) control technologies is not mutually exclusive. SCR tends to be used in combination with EGR. | Light-duty vehicles (high-end, larger vehicles) |

| Estimated cost per vehicle* | $320 (engines <2.0 L) | $498 (engines <2.0 L) | $162 (engines <2.0 L) | $160 (engines >2.0 L) |

| Advantages | 70-90% efficiency at low loads | Up to 95% NO\(_x\) conversion efficiency | Good NO\(_x\) control performance at low temperatures | Variable geometry turbocharging is assumed for EGR. |

| Limitations | NO\(_x\) storage capacity is limited by physical size of LNT. | Limited NO\(_x\) conversion at low-load driving conditions (vanadium catalyst), sensitive to fuel sulfur content (copper-zirconia catalyst). | Most effective at low engine loads | High cost, packaging constraints (combined aftertreatment solutions take up more space than single-technology solutions). |

| Application examples | VW Polo, VW Golf, BMW 2-Series | Peugeot 308, Mercedes-Benz C200, Audi A5 | Mazda 3, Mazda 6, Mazda CX-5 | US market versions of BMW 3-Series, 5-Series, and X5-Series |

*Note: Cost estimates from Possada et al. (2012) “Estimated Cost of Emission Reduction Technologies for Light-Duty Vehicles”. Variable geometry turbocharging is assumed for EGR.

---

Table 4 - Overview of the main technologies for the control of NO\(_x\) emissions from Euro 6 Diesel passenger cars. (Taken from Yang et al., 2015).
### Table 5 - Diesel technology requirements for control of conventional pollutants. EU regulations. (Taken from Posada et al., 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diesel</th>
<th>Regulated pollutants</th>
<th>Emissions reduction</th>
<th>Base technology and comments</th>
<th>Engine-out emissions, A/F management</th>
<th>Aftertreatment options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EURO 1</td>
<td>NOx / CO / HC</td>
<td>35% / 50% / 28%</td>
<td>(Currently, all European countries use EURO 1)</td>
<td>Increased use of low-sulfur diesel fuel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURO 2</td>
<td>NOx / CO / HC</td>
<td>0.5 / 1.0 / 1.0</td>
<td>(EU 1992; leading countries: France, UK, Germany)</td>
<td>Increased use of low-sulfur diesel fuel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURO 3</td>
<td>NOx / CO / HC</td>
<td>0.25 / 0.25 / 0.25</td>
<td>(2000; leading countries: France, Germany)</td>
<td>Increased use of low-sulfur diesel fuel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURO 4</td>
<td>NOx / CO / HC</td>
<td>0.08 / 0.20 / 0.03</td>
<td>(2005; leading countries: France, Germany)</td>
<td>Increased use of low-sulfur diesel fuel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURO 5</td>
<td>NOx / CO / HC</td>
<td>0.03 / 0.15 / 0.10</td>
<td>(2012; leading countries: France, Germany)</td>
<td>Increased use of low-sulfur diesel fuel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Engine-out emissions, A/F management**
- **EU-based control:** Use of exhaust gas recirculation (EGR), diesel oxidation catalyst (DOC), diesel particulate filter (DPF), and selective catalytic reduction (SCR) systems.
- **Regulated exhaust component systems:** Use of EGR, DOC, DPF, and SCR systems.
- **Exhaust gas recirculation (EGR):** Use of EGR systems to reduce NOx emissions.
- **Diesel oxidation catalyst (DOC):** Use of DOC systems to reduce CO and HC emissions.
- **Diesel particulate filter (DPF):** Use of DPF systems to reduce PM emissions.
- **Selective catalytic reduction (SCR):** Use of SCR systems to reduce NOx emissions.

**Aftertreatment systems**
- **DOC for NOx reduction:** Use of DOC systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **PF (Particulate Filter):** Use of PF systems to reduce PM emissions.
- **DOC-SCR:** Use of DOC-SCR systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **PF-DOC:** Use of PF-DOC systems in combination with DOC systems.
- **PF-DOC-SCR:** Use of PF-DOC-SCR systems in combination with DOC and SCR systems.
- **PF-DOC-SCR:** Use of PF-DOC-SCR systems in combination with DOC and SCR systems.

**EURO 6**
- **Premature NOx control:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **NOx control:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Fuel control:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **System maintenance:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **High-pressure fuel injection:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Fuel management:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Engine tuning and mapping:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Fuel management:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Variable geometry turbochargers (VGT):** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Variable valve timing (VVT):** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Electronic engine control:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Engine management and control:** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Diesel particulate filter (DPF):** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
- **Diesel particulate filter (DPF):** Use of DPF systems in combination with SCR systems.
3. **Instruments for measuring NO\(_x\) during PTI**

This section of the report summarises the instruments which are likely to be suitable for the measurement of NO\(_x\) during PTI, including the results of any studies in which the instruments have been tested and compared. The instruments were identified though a review of the literature and through the personal knowledge of the project members.

### 3.1. Chemiluminescence analyser

Although it is not used for PTI emission tests, the chemiluminescence analyser is the standard instrument for measuring NO and NO\(_2\) in type approval tests (and also for ambient air quality measurements). It is also widely used as a reference method. The instrument detects the light emitted by electronically exited NO\(_2\) molecules which are generated by the following reaction (Equation 9) between NO in the exhaust gas and ozone (O\(_3\)) which is added in a reaction chamber:

\[
NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2 + \text{light}
\]

*Equation 9: Reaction between NO in the exhaust gas and ozone O\(_3\)*

The emitted light is measured with a photomultiplier sensor and is proportional to the NO concentration.

To enable NO\(_2\) to be measured, the NO\(_2\) resulting from the above reaction is reduced to NO inside the analyser using a converter at a high temperature. It is possible to measure NO and NO\(_x\) (NO plus NO\(_2\) reduced to NO in the converter) by alternating the gas flow. Valves are used to pass the gas through the converter (for measurement of NO\(_x\)) or directly without the converter (for measurement of NO) to the reaction chamber. NO\(_2\) is then calculated as difference between NO\(_x\) and NO. The ozone needed for the reaction is usually produced from oxygen within the analyser itself using an ozone generator.

### 3.2. Non-dispersive infrared absorption spectroscopy (NDIR)

Non-dispersive infrared absorption spectroscopy (NDIR) is based on the principle that when infrared light from a broadband source is passed through a measurement chamber, each gas present absorbs the light at a certain wavelength and in proportion to its concentration.

The remaining beam passes a filter to cut off other wavelengths and is detected by, for example, a pyroelectric sensor. Many different filter and detector technologies are used, including filter interference, gas-filter correlation, and pneumatic detectors.

NDIR is often used to measure CO, CO\(_2\) and HC (usually calibrated as propane equivalents) as well as NO. However, the measurement of NO\(_2\) is not possible as water vapour (from fuel combustion) absorbs at the same wavelengths, thus causing interference. It is therefore unlikely that NDIR will be suitable for use in PTI.
3.3. Non-dispersive ultraviolet absorption spectroscopy (NDUV)

The advantage of measuring NO and NO$_2$ in the ultraviolet region of the spectrum instead of in the infrared region is that there is no cross-sensitivity with water and CO$_2$.

Analysers which rely upon non-dispersive ultraviolet absorption spectroscopy (NDUV) have been used in portable emission measurement systems (PEMS) to measure on-road emissions of vehicles (e.g. for evaluating emission factors and models). These systems show a good correlation with the equipment used in the type approval procedure (Weiss et al., 2011).

However, NDUV instruments are currently rather expensive for PTI.

3.4. Electrochemical cells

A number of instruments based on electrochemical cells have been developed for use in PTI emission tests. In these instruments the oxidation of NO generates a small electric current, the magnitude of which is proportional to the amount of NO present. The fundamental principle involves the use of electrodes and a liquid or solid electrolyte. Variations include Na$^+$-conductor-based NO, sensors (operated at about 150°C) developed in the 1980s, and a ZrO$_2$-based thick-film sensor (operated at 450°C) – known as a ‘smart-NO$_x$’ sensor – developed in the 1990s by NGK/VDO.

Electrochemical cells are relatively cheap, simple and robust, and can have a high selectivity, although some cross sensitivity with CO has been reported (Szabo and Dutta, 2003). Electrochemical sensors are usually classified as potentiometric (where the output is a voltage) or as amperometric (where the output is a current). Amperometric sensors also have a high sensitivity, and can be adjusted for the measurement of different gases by, for example, specifying chemical reactions in advance of the electrochemical reaction, modifying the diffusion barrier (porosity, pore distribution, etc.), or modifying the electrolyte, material and structure of the gas sensor (Vlad, 2008).

Various different types of electrochemical cell are available for use in gas sensors, but the fundamental principle is always based on electrodes and a liquid or solenoid electrolyte. Sensors typically consist of multi-layer ceramics and solid-state thick films.

3.5. Zirconia multilayer ceramics

Zirconia ceramic (ZrO$_2$): This type of ceramic is an oxide of zirconium (Zr), one of the rare earth metals. An important property of this ceramic is that it conducts oxygen ions when voltage is applied at high temperatures. Conversely, an electric current is generated when oxygen ions are mobile. This property can be put to practical use when zirconia is used in oxygen pumps and oxygen sensors and in fuel cell electrodes.
The use of the ceramics technology that has been developed to date, and by forming ZrO$_2$ into a proprietary two-chamber shape and using a multi-layered element, has enabled the development of a sensor to detect with high precision only those oxygen ions originating from nitric monoxide (NO) from among the oxygen ions present in the exhaust gas.

3.6. Fourier-transform infra-red spectroscopy (FTIR)

In FTIR the light from a broadband source transmitted through a scanning interferometer is measured as a function of the optical path length. The high signal-to-noise ratio of FTIR has led to its increasing use in laboratories. However, the interference of NO$_2$ measurement by water vapour remains. FTIR spectrometers are also significantly more complex than NDIR instruments.

3.7. Time response for NO$_x$ emission measurement

On the website of Cambustion (www.cambustion.com) some application notes can be found. Cambustion was founded in 1987 by a research group at Cambridge University Engineering Department, to produce a fast response FID detector for HC measurement. They have applied their expertise and techniques from this fast FID detector to develop fast response measurement equipment of NO$_x$ and CO & CO$_2$. The importance of a good time response for NO$_x$ emission measurement was already highlighted in the TEDDIE study (CITA, 2011). The following figures show some difference in a quick and a conventional analyser.

Figure 7 shows both behaviours during an acceleration followed by a fuel cut. The difference in sample transport delay to both analysers is shown in Figure 8. The 2 millisecond time resolution of the fast analyser detects fast transients which are smeared out by slower analysers.

![Figure 7 - Euro IV transient NO$_x$ on WLPT cycle. (Taken from Cambustion Application Note CLD04v01, n.d. c)](image-url)
3.8. Review of existing equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company name</th>
<th>Product name</th>
<th>Technology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTIA</td>
<td>Actigas AT505</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive Test</td>
<td>P555</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVL DITEST</td>
<td>AVL DITEST CDS/MDS</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosch</td>
<td>BEA 050, 055, 060, with NO</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain Bee</td>
<td>AGS-688</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capelec</td>
<td>CAP3050</td>
<td>Zirconia ceramic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAHA</td>
<td>MET 6.3</td>
<td>Electrochemical + CCFET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAXON-Junkalor</td>
<td>Infralyt ELD</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensors Inc.</td>
<td>SEMTECH-DS</td>
<td>NDUV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensors Inc.</td>
<td>SEMTECH-NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>NDUV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEN</td>
<td>INNOVA 2800+NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEXA</td>
<td>GASBOX AUTOPOWER</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 - Existing equipment

3.9. NDUV equipment
Sensors Inc. SEMTECH
An example of an instrument which uses the NDUV principle to measure NO and NO\textsubscript{2} is the SEMTECH-DS system manufactured by Sensors Inc. (Figure 9). The system is normally used in laboratory, such as for engine development. The SEMTECH-DS can be used to measure CO, CO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{2}, NO, NO\textsubscript{2} and THC in the raw exhaust from both spark ignition and compression ignition engines, and is compliant with the USEPA’s CFR 1065 standard. There is an optional heated FID sampling probe which maintains the exhaust temperature at 191°C. Bespoke software is provided for controlling the SEMTECH-DS and calculating emissions. A wireless Ethernet connection can be used for communication between a computer and the instrument.

3.10. Electrochemical equipment

Some examples of electrochemical devices are described below.

SAXON-Junkalor - Infralyt ELD

The Infralyt ELD instrument produced by SAXON-Junkalor GmbH is designed for the measurement of pollutant concentrations in diesel exhaust. It employs an infrared optical bench to measure CO, CO\textsubscript{2} and HC, and electrochemical cells to measure O\textsubscript{2}, NO and NO\textsubscript{2}. The Infralyt ELD is small, compact and easy to handle (Figure 10). The wide ranges available for measurement allow a diagnosis of modern diesel vehicles with DPFs. The instrument can be used with a small hand-held logging unit (with internal line printer) or with a PC/Notebook.
MAHA MET 6.3

The MET series is designed for the measurement of petrol and diesel vehicle exhaust during PTI. The MET 6.3 version is shown in Figure 11. Depending on the configuration, it can be used to measure the concentration of CO, CO₂, HC, O₂, NOₓ, and particulate mass, as well as the turbidity coefficient.

The device is available in a version with a simple display (LCD), showing values and configurations, or with a graphical display and menu-driven operation. Communication to a PC system is via wireless (WLAN) or LAN. MAHA note that the instrument is easy to maintain, with filters being accessed through covers on the side of the body. The analyser is approved to the requirements of OIML Class 1.
The Autocal P550 is a small, light (5kg) instrument for analysing emissions (Figure 12-) which is specifically designed for PTI emission checks. It employs NDIR to measure CO, CO$_2$, and HC, and electrochemical cells to measure O$_2$ and NO. The P550 also measures oil temperature, and displays engine speed and (calculated) lambda. The instrument works independently (i.e. it does not require an external computer), and has its own LCD screen. The analyser is approved to the requirements of OIML Class 1.

![Autocal P550](image)

Figure 12 - Autocal P550 (reproduced by kind permission of Autocal).

### 3.11. Zirconia multilayers ceramic equipment

**CAP3600 Equipment**

Hardware:

Capelec is providing, in order to perform the test campaign:

- A CAP3600 Equipment (PC interface, touch screen, data storage, tests printing)
  - CAP3050: OpacNO$_x$ : combined unit with opacity and NO$_x$ measurement (Zirconium technology)
  - CAP4250: EOBD data acquisition, used in addition with tail pipe measurement
  - Customised software with automatic and guided procedure (test data gathering and storage)
  - LAN connexion with remote control for maintenance, update and test result data transfer.
Data measurement

NO\textsubscript{X}: provided via CAP3050, response time <1s
RPM: provided via CAP4250 (live data) and EOBD plug
Engine filling ratio (p): computed from live EOBD data and vehicle’s data info (cylinder capacity)
Opacity: Pic record via CAP3050
OBD & EOBD data: DTC, Readiness tests and readiness status, MIL status, EGR info (command, position feedback)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opacity</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Precision : 0.05m-1</td>
<td>Range 0 to 5000 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Precision : &lt; 0.005m-1</td>
<td>Precision :</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Precision +/-0.5% (filter)</td>
<td>o +/-15ppm (0 to 1000 ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution : 0.001m-1 or 0.01%</td>
<td>o 1.5% from 1000 to 5000ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response time (1s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SET II

**Sustainable Emission Test for diesel vehicles involving NOx measurements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAHA</td>
<td>Sensors Inc.</td>
<td>Sensors Inc.</td>
<td>Saxon-Junkalor</td>
<td>Capelec</td>
<td>Robert Bosch</td>
<td>Brain Bee</td>
<td>Automotive Test</td>
<td>AVL DiTEST</td>
<td>ACTIA</td>
<td>TEN</td>
<td>TEXA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product name</td>
<td>MET 6.3</td>
<td>SEMTECH</td>
<td>SEMTECH-D5</td>
<td>Infralyt ELD</td>
<td>CAP3050</td>
<td>BEA 060 , BEA 055</td>
<td>AGS-688</td>
<td>P550</td>
<td>AVL DITEST</td>
<td>MDS/CDS</td>
<td>AT505</td>
<td>INNOVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>NO, NO2 (O2, CO, CO2, HC)</td>
<td>NO, NO2</td>
<td>NO, NO2 (O2, CO, CO2, HC)</td>
<td>NO, NO2 (O2, CO, CO2, HC)</td>
<td>NO, NO2 (O2, CO, CO2, HC)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO, CO, CO2, HC, O2</td>
<td>NO, NO2 (O2, CO, CO2, HC)</td>
<td>NO, NO2 (O2, CO, CO2, HC)</td>
<td>NO (O2, CO, CO2, HC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement method(s) for NO and/or NO2</td>
<td>NO: electrochemical</td>
<td>NO2: CCFET</td>
<td>NO: Electrochemical</td>
<td>Zirconia ceramic</td>
<td>NO: electrochemical</td>
<td>NO: electrochemical</td>
<td>NO: Solid State NO2</td>
<td>Solid State NO2</td>
<td>Electric</td>
<td>NO: Electrochemical</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
<td>NO: electrochemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>NO: 0-5,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-3,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-2,500 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-2,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-5,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-5,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-5,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-5,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-5,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-5,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-5,000 ppm</td>
<td>NO: 0-5,000 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>NO: ±5%</td>
<td>NO: ±5%</td>
<td>NO: ±5%</td>
<td>NO: ±15%</td>
<td>NO: ±15%</td>
<td>NO: ±15%</td>
<td>NO: ±15%</td>
<td>NO: ±5 ppm</td>
<td>NO: ±5 ppm</td>
<td>NO: ±3% of full scale</td>
<td>NO: N/A</td>
<td>Range 0-4000 ppm ± 4% or 25 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 CCFET = Capacitive-Coupled Field-Effect Transistor
### SET II

**Sustainable Emission Test for diesel vehicles involving NO\textsubscript{x} measurements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company name</td>
<td>MAHA</td>
<td>Sensors Inc.</td>
<td>Sensors Inc.</td>
<td>SAXON-junkalor</td>
<td>Capelec</td>
<td>Robert Bosch</td>
<td>Brain Bee</td>
<td>Automotive Test</td>
<td>AVL DiTEST</td>
<td>ACTIA</td>
<td>TEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration interval</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>3-6 months</td>
<td>3-6 months</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>5 kg</td>
<td>13 kg</td>
<td>35.4 kg</td>
<td>9 kg</td>
<td>500 g (bench)</td>
<td>9 kg</td>
<td>5 kg</td>
<td>5 kg</td>
<td>2.2 kg</td>
<td>6 kg</td>
<td>15 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: length x height x width (cm)</td>
<td>40.6 x 22.5 x 16.0</td>
<td>30.8 x 13.6 x 43.6</td>
<td>55 x 36 x 43</td>
<td>29.4 x 23.8 x 35.5</td>
<td>13 x 7 x 7</td>
<td>41.4 x 33.0 x 28.0</td>
<td>43.4 x 19.0 x 29.1</td>
<td>29.0 x 14.0 x 18.0</td>
<td>344 x 252 x 85mm</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>46 x 27 x 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per unit</td>
<td>7.175,− Euro net EXW (list-price)</td>
<td>€ 3700 stand-alone</td>
<td>€ 3700 stand-alone</td>
<td>€ 3700 with NO\textsubscript{x} and Diesel special probe included</td>
<td>€ 3700 with NO\textsubscript{x} and Diesel special probe included</td>
<td>€ 3700 with NO\textsubscript{x} and Diesel special probe included</td>
<td>€ 3700 with NO\textsubscript{x} and Diesel special probe included</td>
<td>€ 3700 with NO\textsubscript{x} and Diesel special probe included</td>
<td>€ 3700 with NO\textsubscript{x} and Diesel special probe included</td>
<td>€ 3700 with NO\textsubscript{x} and Diesel special probe included</td>
<td>€ 3700 with NO\textsubscript{x} and Diesel special probe included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

±0,4% around 0 ± 0,8% on the range

ppm ± 8%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAHA</td>
<td>Sensors Inc.</td>
<td>Sensors Inc.</td>
<td>SAXON-Junkalor</td>
<td>Capelec</td>
<td>Robert Bosch</td>
<td>Brain Bee</td>
<td>Automotive Test</td>
<td>AVL DiTEST</td>
<td>ACTIA</td>
<td>TEN</td>
<td>TEXA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time per test</td>
<td>T90- ca. 20 seconds</td>
<td>Reaction time &lt; 1s</td>
<td>Procedure: 60s</td>
<td>Depending on test procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covering Petrol and/or Diesel vehicles?</td>
<td>Petrol and Diesel vehicles</td>
<td>Diesel vehicles</td>
<td>Covers both Diesel and petrol vehicles</td>
<td>Petrol (with standard probe) and Diesel (with special probe)</td>
<td>Petrol and diesel after Euro4</td>
<td>Petrol (and also diesel)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you ready to participate to the CITA Set II Study?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Depending on time scale</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure dedicated to NOx</td>
<td>Yes Dynamic (roller)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes Static</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes Static</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 - overview specifications NOx equipment
4. PTI test procedures for the test of diesel vehicles involving NO\textsubscript{x} measurements

4.1. Test procedures disclosed in the TEDDIE-study

This part is integral taken from the CITA TEDDIE study (CITA, 2011). Note that the references in this annex are not necessarily duplicated in the reference list of this study (unless they are used in the study itself).

4.1.1. Unloaded tests

Idle tests

Idle tests are commonly used for petrol vehicles in I/M programmes. One-stage tests with the engine at natural idle are the most common, but there are some examples of two-stage tests in which emissions are measured at both low and high engine speed. The inclusion of a lambda test at high idle can help to reveal whether the catalytic converter is functioning, the exhaust pipe is leaking, and the testing has been carried out properly (USAID, 2004).

The test can last from less than one minute in the case of a one-stage test without pre-conditioning to about 10 minutes in the case of a two-stage test with pre-conditioning.

Idle tests are not considered to be appropriate for modern diesel vehicles, as NO\textsubscript{x} and PM emissions under no-load conditions are low.

Free acceleration smoke (FAS) test

In many countries the PTI emission test for all types of diesel vehicle involves the measurement of exhaust smoke opacity. Because smoke levels at engine idling speed (or under low load) are nearly always low regardless of the condition of the vehicle, free acceleration tests are often used (Faiz et al., 1996). For example:

- In Europe, Directive 72/306/EEC describes the FAS test which is performed as part of the type approval procedure. This procedure is also used for PTI testing, as specified in Directives 2009/40/EC and 2010/48/EC.

- In the United States the EPA recommends (but does not mandate) the use of the free acceleration test described in SAE J1667 as the basis for diesel vehicle inspection. SAE refers to the test as a ‘snap-acceleration procedure’, but it is also commonly called the ‘snap-idle test’, the ‘J1667 test’ and the ‘free-acceleration test’. Several jurisdictions have either implemented such tests or have pilot programmes under way.
The particular test procedures used are in all cases similar, though not identical. The test is typically performed as described earlier for the EU case. Some of the advantages disadvantages of the FAS test were also discussed earlier.

INCOLL/AUTONAT

These two tests were designed for use with petrol cars. The INCOLL test was devised by the University of Technology of Gothenburg. A similar test called AUTONAT has also been proposed by the Centre de Recherche en Machine Thérmiques in France. The tests were described by Samaras and Zachariadis (1995).

Neither the INCOLL nor the AUTONAT tests require the use of a chassis dynamometer. Instead, the vehicle’s engine is accelerated and decelerated rapidly so that the load the engine has to overcome in order to accelerate its rotating and reciprocating parts (including flywheel and gearbox) approximates to the load during a normal driving cycle.

The INCOLL test involves increasing the engine speed from low idle to 4,500 rpm in less than 100 ms. In the AUTONAT test the accelerator pedal is actuated according to a driving schedule through an electronically controlled mechanism, while either the raw exhaust concentrations are continuously measured or diluted exhaust is collected and analysed after the end of the test.

Both the INCOLL and AUTONAT tests have demonstrated reasonably good correlation with emissions over type approval cycles. Whilst the conduct of the actual test cycle requires between only two and five minutes, it takes some time (around 30 minutes in the case of AUTONAT) to obtain the relationship between accelerator pedal position and engine speed and load for each car type. This approach is therefore considerably more complicated than applying a standard test to all vehicle types.

Procedures described by Norris (2005)

In the UK Low-Emission Diesel Research project a gentle acceleration was used (Norris, 2005). The study showed that during gentle accelerations EGR systems operate in different ways. To ensure that the test included a working region of the EGR the engine speed was slowly increased from idle to a suitable upper limit (4,000 rpm), with the vehicle unloaded (i.e. neutral gear selected). The rate of increase in the engine speed was not described, but a slope of 50 rpm per second would appear to be reasonable. We refer to this test hereafter as ‘Norris-A’. Since the EGR unit is an important emission-reduction system for NOx emissions, this could be an important test. In the study itself the working of the EGR was determined using concentrations of CO2 and O2.

In the same study another test cycle was used in order to turn on the EGR. For some of the vehicles tested merely gently touching the accelerator pedal at idle (up to 900-1000 rpm) caused the EGR unit to turn on, and then after a certain time (2 minutes) to turn off again. We refer to this test hereafter as ‘Norris-B’. This procedure was not applicable to all vehicles.
4.1.2. Loaded steady-state tests

These are the simplest loaded tests, in which the engine is held at a specified speed (or a series of sequential speeds) for a desired amount of time by the variable brake loading provided by a power-absorbing dynamometer. In the steady state no inertia simulation is necessary: the load on the engine stays the same. The application of load permits the measurement of NO\textsubscript{x}.

**US Federal 3-Mode**

The Federal 3-Mode test was developed in the United States in the 1970s as a possible short procedure for evaluating emissions from petrol cars in I/M programmes. The vehicle is placed on a dynamometer without a flywheel. The test involves two different vehicle speed/load points (Error! Reference source not found.7) and a low idle (unloaded) point. The load varies according to the vehicle’s inertia weight. The whole test takes around 10 minutes to complete (including preparation, testing and documentation). The engine needs to be preconditioned for 10-15 seconds at 2,500 rpm. Each test phase can then take no longer than two minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inertia [kg]</th>
<th>High speed</th>
<th>Low speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speed [km/h]</td>
<td>Load [kW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 1134</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>15.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1135 - 1586</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>19.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1589 - 2041</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>23.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2041</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 8 - US Federal 3-Mode test – loaded points*

Pollutant concentrations (CO, HC and NO\textsubscript{x}) are measured in the raw exhaust. NDIR analysers are used for CO and HC, and a chemiluminescence analyser for NO\textsubscript{x}. Whilst the results from the test correlated reasonably well with those from the Federal Test Procedure (FTP) used for type approval in the US, it was never implemented due to the high capital costs associated with the dynamometer and NO\textsubscript{x} analyser (Norris, 2002).

**Clayton Key Mode**

Like the Federal 3-Mode test, the Clayton Key Mode test was developed in the United States in the 1970s for the testing of petrol cars. The test itself is also very similar to the 3-Mode test, the main differences being the actual vehicle weight band and the speed/load points used (Error! Reference source not found.8). Correlations with FTP test results were good, but again the test was not implemented because of high capital costs. Poor repeatability of the test was also a factor.
**CalVIP**

The CalVIP test was developed by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and was used in the centralised I/M programmes that ran in Los Angeles from 1979 to 1984. Few details of the test appear to be available. It is again very similar to the US Federal 3-Mode test, but with different speed and load points (Error! Reference source not found.9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of cylinders</th>
<th>Speed [km/h]</th>
<th>Load [kW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 4</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>7.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 up to 6</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>11.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 7 and m ≤ 1477 kg</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>13.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 7 and m &gt; 1477 kg</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>15.375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 10 – CalVIP test*

Samaras and Zachariadis (1995) stated that it would be reasonable to assume that either a brief operation at 2,500 rpm (as in the Federal 3-Mode and Clayton Key Mode tests) or a 3-minute steady-state loaded operation on a dynamometer (as in transient loaded tests) would be used for preconditioning purposes.

**D550**

The D550 short steady-state test is described by Anyon (1995). It is conducted using a constant dynamometer load equivalent to a fully laden vehicle driving up a 5% gradient at 50 km/h (Figure 14). This represents a near full-load condition for most vehicles. As it is a constant load, constant-speed test, it requires only a simple dynamometer. The test is designed so that there is no need to establish maximum power or torque outputs.
Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) tests

In the ASM test for petrol cars the vehicle is driven on a basic chassis dynamometer without the use of inertial flywheels. The inertial load normally encountered during accelerations is simulated by applying additional load. The vehicle is driven on the dynamometer at a constant speed, with a steady-state power absorption that is equal to the actual road load of the car (except the rolling resistance) during acceleration. This circumvents the need for flywheels. However, at high speed / high acceleration combinations the required power absorption is too great to be achieved without the engine overheating. This restricts the useable speed/power range.

The US state of Texas has introduced the ASM test for I/M. Detailed procedures are available from the Texas Department of Public Safety (De la Torre Klausmeier Consulting Inc., 2002). In the Texas ASM test HC, CO and NO\textsubscript{x} are measured during two modes: a high load / low speed condition (the 5015 test) and a moderate speed / moderate load condition (the 25/25 test):

- The ASM 5015 tests a vehicle at a load simulating 50\% of the maximum acceleration rate on the FTP (50\% of 3.3 mph s\textsuperscript{-1}) and 15 mph.
- The ASM 2525 tests a vehicle at a load simulating 25\% of the maximum acceleration rate on the FTP (25\% of 3.3 mph s\textsuperscript{-1}) and 25 mph.

The ASM test is more effective at identifying emission-related problems than the two-speed idle test which was previously used in Texas, and it is much more difficult to get a vehicle to pass it without performing necessary repairs. An evaluation study of ASM tests concluded that they can identify more than 80\% of excess HC and CO emitters, with few errors of commission (Austin and Heirigs, 1995).

In the late 1980s the association of German TÜV also investigated the ASM principle for both diesel and petrol cars. In this variant the car was driven at a nominal speed and full load, and then at 45\% of the nominal speed and full load. Two smoke measurements were taken at each condition. The study concluded that the test was more appropriate than a no-load test for characterising the emission.
behaviour of diesel cars. However, it was not legally enforced in Germany because the EC-wide free acceleration test was considered at the time to be satisfactory (Norris, 2002).

The ASM2050 cycle focuses on the urban part of driving cycles, as urban transport emissions in particular are at the forefront of public and political debate. The driven speeds are therefore between 0 and 50 km / h. The two constant speed points are at 20 and 50 km / h.

There are two variants of the ASM2050. Both are the same and are shown in Figure 15. In variant 1, the cycle is driven from the point T2 in regularly second gear to end in variant 2 is switched at the point T4 from second gear to third gear and thus the 50 km / h in third gear driven (Pando, 2016). The following describes the process of both variants in more detail:

**Variant 1 (ride in first and second gear):**
- T1 to T2: acceleration in first gear with 10% load specification to 20 km / h in 4 seconds.
- T2: gear change from first gear to second gear.
- T2 after T3: Constant driving at 20 km / h in second gear for 15 seconds.
- T3 to T4: acceleration in second gear with 5% load specification to 50 km / h in 4 seconds.
- T4 to T5: Constant travel at 50 km / h in second gear for 15 seconds.
- T5 to T6: braking to standstill.

**Variant 2 (ride in first, second and third gear):**
- T1 to T2: acceleration in first gear with 10% load specification to 20 km / h in 4 seconds.
- T2: gear change from first gear to second gear.
- T2 after T3: Constant driving at 20 km / h in second gear for 15 seconds.
- T3 to T4: acceleration in second gear with 5% load specification to 50 km / h in 4 seconds.
- T4: gear change from second to third gear.
- T4 to T5: Constant travel at 50 km / h in third gear for 15 seconds.
- T5 to T6: braking to standstill
‘Lug-down’ test

The lug-down test is a basic loaded test which has been used in some countries, including the United States and Hong Kong. The vehicle is operated on a chassis dynamometer at a fixed speed while the dynamometer load is increased to the point where the vehicle is running at full throttle. The dynamometer load is then gradually increased to reduce the engine speed until the engine is labouring or ‘lugging’.

The International Standards Organisation specifies a test method (ISO 7644) for measuring opacity using a dynamometer-based lug-down test.

Colorado has introduced dynamometer lug down tests which, for heavy-duty diesel vehicles, are contained in Regulation 12, Part A.IV.C.4 and Part B.III.C.4.b (Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, 2006). In this test, the vehicle is run on the dynamometer at wide-open throttle during the following sequence:

1. The vehicle is run at no load and at maximum engine speed in a gear that produces a road speed between 60 and 70 mph (or the maximum that can be obtained).
2. Load is applied to bring the engine to its rated speed and held for 10 seconds while opacity is measured.
3. Load is applied to lug the engine to 90%, 80% and then 70% of rated speed, pausing at each speed for 10 seconds while opacity is measured.

The maximum smoke opacity is then compared with the standard. NOx measurements could also be taken during the test.

The above procedure is not to be confused with the one of the same name which has previously investigated in the UK. In this case the vehicle is placed on inexpensive unloaded free rollers, and full throttle is applied to drive the road wheels to a reasonable operating speed in gear, with the vehicle’s brakes being used to apply load to the engine. However, the use of the vehicle brakes to apply load whilst the vehicle is driven on free rollers may be considered to have safety implications and also has a tendency to cause tyre damage. Moreover, the test provides no information on engine load, although this could be inferred from OBD (McCrae et al., 2005; Latham, 2007).
**Pennsylvania § 169.5 smoke test cycle**

A smoke emissions test is specified in the provisions of The Pennsylvania Code\(^3\). The test is conducted according to the following sequence (The Pennsylvania Code, 1977):

1. **Idle mode.** The engine is kept at idle for 1.5 to 2 minutes at the recommended low idle speed of the manufacturer. The dynamometer controls are set to provide minimum load by turning the load switch to the ‘off’ position or by adjusting the controls to the minimum load position.

2. **Acceleration mode.** This proceeds as follows:
   - The engine is accelerated at full throttle against inertia, or alternatively against a pre-programmed dynamometer load, such that the engine speed increases to 85-90% of rated speed in 3.5 to 5.5 seconds. For maximum repeatability on turbocharged engines with more than 1.5 pressure ratio, this should be held to closer limits. The acceleration should be kept linear within plus or minus 100 rpm.
   - When the engine reaches 85-90% of the rated speed the throttle is closed rapidly and any dynamometer load is removed.
   - Based on a pre-set load, the engine speed is allowed to drop to the intermediate speed within plus or minus 100 rpm.
   - Full throttle is then applied and the engine speed is increased against a dynamometer load schedule such that the engine speed reaches 95-100% of the rated speed in 10±2 seconds.

3. **Rated speed mode.** This involves the following steps:
   - Proceeding from the acceleration mode, the dynamometer controls are adjusted to permit the engine to develop full-load power at the rated speed.
   - The engine is allowed to operate for one minute after the load and speed have stabilised at full-load power at rated speed.

4. **Lugging mode.** Here, the dynamometer controls are adjusted without changing the throttle position to slow the engine gradually to the intermediate speed. This engine lugging operation is performed smoothly over a period of 35±5, seconds. The slowing rate of the engine is kept linear within plus or minus 100 rpm.

---

\(^3\) Title 67 Transportation, § 169 Diesel smoke measurement procedure.
(5) **Intermediate speed mode.** The engine is allowed to operate at full power at the intermediate speed for one minute after the load and speed have stabilised.

(6) **Engine unloading.** After completion of the lugging and intermediate speed modes the dynamometer and engine are returned to the idle condition. The zero and span of the smoke opacimeter may be checked and reset if necessary. If either zero or span drift is in excess of 2% the test results are considered to be invalid.

### 4.1.3. Loaded transient tests

In loaded transient tests the engine power and speed are varied throughout the test cycle. Different test cycles are used in different jurisdictions, and some of them are used in I/M programmes. It has been noted that for diesel vehicles transient testing eliminates the risk of engine damage associated with unloaded tests (McCrae *et al.*, 2005).

**HOT EUDC test**

The HOT EUDC test was used during the Second CITA Programme on Emission Testing at Periodic and Other Inspections (CITA, 2002a). The test is derived from the New European Drive Cycle (NEDC), or ‘Type I’ test, which is used for the type approval on new car and light-duty vehicle models in the EU, as outlined in Annex III of Directive 70/220/EEC.

The NEDC test consists of two phases: an Urban Driving Cycle (UDC) consisting of a series of accelerations, steady speeds, decelerations and idling, and an Extra-Urban Driving Cycle (EUDC) which is run immediately after the UDC. The latter consists of roughly half steady-speed driving (at 75-120 km/h) and half accelerations/decelerations and a little idling. The test is undertaken on a vehicle which has been left to soak at between 20°C and 30°C for at least 6 hours, and until the engine oil and coolant temperatures are within ± 2°C of the ambient temperature.

The duration of the NEDC is 1,180 seconds for Euro III vehicles and later, with the UDC and EUDC phases being 780 seconds and 400 seconds long respectively. The Euro III test differs from the Euro II and earlier certification procedure (specified in directive 98/69/EC), in that the earlier test included a 40-second idling period that preceded the start of emissions sampling.

However, key aspects of this cycle which make it unattractive for I/M testing are:

- It is a cold-start test, requiring at least a 6 hour pre-run soak.
- Its long duration.
- The requirement for a dynamometer with full inertia simulation.
- The specification of a full-flow dilution tunnel and emission-measurement system.
- The high specification of the analysers.

Even if raw exhaust measurements were made, the first three of these aspects render this test impractical for I/M programmes.
In the CITA Hot EUDC test the operating cycle consists of the EUDC only. The dynamometer inertia is set at the manufacturer’s value or according to the Directive, and following sequence is applied:

(1) **First Type I test.** The exhaust gases are measured during the complete cycle and during the second part. A four-gas test and an EOBD test are also carried out.

(2) **HOT EUDC cycles.** One or more faults are introduced. During the driving cycle the fault should be detected by the EOBD system. After the driving cycle (a HOT EUDC test) the four-gas test and an EOBD test are conducted. The HOT EUDC cycles are started with the engine running at the same speed and the engine oil at the temperature reached during the Type I test. The HOT EUDC tests are repeated after each failure in a series of one or more failures. When the whole failure series for the vehicle has been completed, the emissions during the HOT EUDC test are compared with the results from the measurements of the vehicle with faults to decide which fault setting will be measured during a second complete Type I test.

(3) **Second Type I test.** After the series of HOT EUDC cycles, a supplementary Type I test is conducted. During this phase the four-gas test and an EOBD test are also carried out. There will therefore be at least two Type I results for each series of HOT EUDC tests.

**DT80 and DT60 tests**

The DT80 procedure, which is applicable to diesel vehicles in Australia, is an aggressive, mixed-mode test with three full-load accelerations to 80 km/h, followed by a steady-state 80 km/h cruise (Brown et al., 1999). This test has been designed to evaluate vehicle emissions during typical ‘real-world’ operating modes and conditions, and requires the use of a dynamometer with inertia simulation.

The Australian National Transport Commission described the DT80 procedure for testing of diesel exhaust emissions as follows (National Transport Commission, 2006):

(1) Idle for 60 seconds.
(2) Accelerate rapidly to 80 km/h under simulated inertia.
(3) Decelerate and gently applying brakes to bring the vehicle to a standstill.
(4) Idle for 10 seconds.
(5) Accelerate rapidly to 80 km/h under simulated inertia.
(6) Decelerate and gently applying brakes to bring the vehicle to a standstill.
(7) Idle for 10 seconds.
(8) Accelerate rapidly to 80 km/h under simulated inertia.
(9) Maintain speed at 80 km/h for 60 seconds.

Figure 16 - DT80 (indicative graph): Speed [km/h] as a function of time [s] (Vyt, 2008). A2 shows the modes of operation. The actual test will result in a graph that has more variation than the indicative graph, because of the need to change gears when accelerating. The driver selects the most appropriate gear-change points for the vehicle being tested to achieve the correct speed.
The DT60 is a shorter, aggressive, mixed-mode test which is very similar to the DT80. It has two full-load accelerations to 60 km/h, followed by a steady-state 60 km/h cruise (Figure 17 – DT60 (indicative graph): Speed [km/h] as a function of time [s] (Vyt, 2008). This test again requires the use of a dynamometer with inertia simulation.

The AC5080 is a short I/M test proposed by Parsons Australia Pty Ltd for CARB (Figure 18 - AC5080 simplified indicative graph: Speed [km/h] as a function of time [s] (Vyt, 2008). It is a mixed-mode test which begins with a 10-second idle followed by a wide-open throttle acceleration to 50 km/h, a steady-state cruise at 50 km/h for 60 seconds, a wide-open throttle acceleration to 80 km/h, and finally a steady-state cruise at 80 km/h for 60 seconds.
It is less aggressive than the DT80, but according to Parsons it may be more representative of on-road driving. As with the DT80 and DT60 it requires the use of an inertia simulating dynamometer. Since the time taken to reach 50km/h and 80km/h is vehicle- and load-dependent, the speed-time profile varies.

**Figure 18** - AC5080 simplified indicative graph: Speed [km/h] as a function of time [s] (Vyt, 2008).

**IM 240**

The IM240 test was developed by the USEPA as an enhanced in-service emission test for light-duty vehicles, and is used in I/M programmes in a number of states. Under this procedure a vehicle is mounted on a dynamometer with associated flywheels - thus allowing the simulation of the vehicle inertia - and is driven over a transient cycle. The name of the test relates to its duration (240 seconds). It is a condensed version of the FTP-75 test; the first 240 seconds of the FTP are taken as the basis for the IM240.

The test cycle is shown in Figure 19 - IM240 simplified indicative graph: Speed [km/h] as a function of time [s] (Vyt, 2008).

19). The test cycle represents a 1.96 mile (3.1 km) trip with an average speed of 29.4 mph (47.3 km/h) and a maximum speed of 56.7 mph (91.2 km/h).
The IM240 procedure also incorporates a constant volume sampling system and gas analysers, as used in the full FTP-75 (Pidgeon and Dobie, 1991; EPA, 2000). There is an alternative version of the IM240 test - known as IG240 - which utilises less expensive inspection-grade equipment. Like the IM240, it is a transient test but is designed primarily for use in a decentralised programme.

The advantage of this method is that it allows a more realistic simulation of real-world driving conditions, but the testing time and capital costs are far greater than for simple idle tests. The in-service IM240 has been found to show good correlation with the FTP-75 for CO₂ and NOₓ but poor correlation with CO and HC (McCrae et. al., 2005).

**Oregon Bureau of Automotive Repair test (BAR31)**

The BAR31 is a short, loaded dynamometer test used in some US states, primarily for measuring diesel opacity, but gaseous pollutants are also measured in some cases. The test uses similar equipment to the IM240, although the driving cycle has been truncated to 31 seconds, with the vehicle sharply accelerating and decelerating through the test. A vehicle is allowed three chances to pass the test before a failure is registered (McCrae et. al., 2005).

**CDH-226**

One of the earliest short tests was the CDH-226 driving schedule, developed by the Colorado Department of Health. The driving cycle lasts for 226 seconds, and the total test duration is about 10 minutes. This short cycle was developed specifically for vehicles equipped with a three-way catalyst, and is aimed at achieving high correlation with the FTP.

The CDH-226 is a ‘smooth’ cycle which requires relatively little throttle action. Throttle action is an important variable affecting vehicle emissions, and could be important in identifying malfunctioning vehicles. For these reasons, EPA decided to develop a more transient alternative to the CDH-226, and the result was the IM240 (Pidgeon and Dobie, 1991).
4.1.4. PTI tests for diesel vehicles

The PTI tests for diesel vehicles in non-EU countries are summarised in table A5.

This information was taken partly from the questionnaire responses and partly from the existing literature. Whilst an attempt has been made to obtain current information, it is possible that some of the test details taken from the literature are now out of date and ought to be confirmed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Exhaust components measured (1)</th>
<th>Chassis Dyno?</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Source(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>NOₓ, PM, Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DT80, DT60</td>
<td>BIVV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil, Parana State</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada, Ontario</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada, Vancouver</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China, Beijing</td>
<td>Opacity, HC, CO, NOₓ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China, Hong Kong</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lug-down</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China, Hong Kong</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>BIVV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China, Hong Kong</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Loaded lug-down</td>
<td>BIVV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>HSU?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No diesel emission test</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>BIVV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>HSU?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Croatia</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Loaded lug-down</td>
<td>BIVV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lug-down</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lug-down mode</td>
<td>BIVV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Exhaust components measured&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Chassis Dyno?</td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Source&lt;sup&gt;(2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Idle</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Loaded</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Filter test, free</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Filter test – loaded</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Arizona</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Loaded cruise mode</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Colorado</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lug-down, free</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Connecticut</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lug-down</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Idaho</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Kentucky</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Lug-down, kerb idle</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, New Mexico</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Two-speed idle</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Ohio</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ASM2525</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Oregon, Medford</td>
<td>CO, Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Two-speed idle, OBDII</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Oregon, Portland</td>
<td>HC, CO, NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;, Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>BAR31, kerb idle, OBDII</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Rhode Island</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>BAR31</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Utah</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Loaded cruise mode, free acceleration</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Vermont</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Washington</td>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free acceleration</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>HSU</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Idle</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11 – Summary of PTI emission tests and limit values for non-EU countries (N/A = not available).

<sup>(1)</sup> HSU = Hartridge Smoke Unit; m<sup>−1</sup> = light absorption coefficient; RB = Filter or Bosch smoke meter unit
<sup>(2)</sup> ADB = (Asian Development Bank (ADB), 2001); BIVV = (Lemaire and Page, 2007) [BIVV= Belgisch Instituut voor Verkeersveiligheid (Belgian Institute for Road Safety)]; Questionnaire - see Appendix E; Sierra = (Sierra Research Inc., 2001).
4.2. Different aspects for a new test procedure

The outcome for a new PTI test procedure should involve 3 different aspects:

1. The vehicle test condition including the use of OBD information as well as a tailpipe emission test: a fixed schedule of vehicle operation which allows an emission test to be conducted under reproducible conditions and considering the different PTI regimes in Europe. This could be a specific unloaded condition or a loaded condition obtained by a driving cycle. These driving cycles can be divided into loaded steady-state and loaded transient cycles, depending on the character of speed and engine load changes.

In annex 2, we have listed the vehicle test conditions which are currently used, or have been used in the past, in PTI tests as described in the Teddie study (CITA, 2011). This work drew upon earlier reviews (e.g. Samaras & Zachariadis, 1995; Brown, Bryett & Mowle, 1999; Norris, 2002).

2. NO\textsubscript{x} measurement equipment as discussed in chapter 4.

3. Additional equipment, if necessary (measurement of oil temperature, rpm, OBD data, etc.)

Posada et al. (2015) introduced two newer measurement technologies that could be utilized to improve I/M programs for heavy duty vehicles:

- Measurement of particles with laser-light-scattering photometry (LLSP) and measuring NO\textsubscript{x} and NO\textsubscript{2} using NDUV.

Furthermore, alternative testing methods could complement or replace traditional I/M methods e.g.:

- On-Board Diagnostics (OBD)\textsuperscript{4};
- Remote Sensing; and
- On-road Heavy-duty Emissions Monitoring System (OHMS).

Norris (2005) concluded that in the UK it was inappropriate to attempt to measure NO\textsubscript{x} emissions using loaded proxy cycles to emulate emissions from the type approval loaded cycles for both LDVs and HDVs. In service NO\textsubscript{x} testing should consist of a diagnostic check of key systems (or components) whose failure would lead to excessive NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. This reduces the check to the correct functioning of the vehicles’ NO\textsubscript{x} abatement technology only. It is emphasised that this is a technology dependent diagnostic check.

The scope of the project should include the precise recommendations to measure NO\textsubscript{x} emissions for the European Commission and Member States to amend the PTI Directive 2014/45/EU accordingly. These test procedures could be based upon the evaluation of a certain amount of NO\textsubscript{x} air pollutants at a certain load or upon an evaluation of good working NO\textsubscript{x} after-treatment systems. The study should

\textsuperscript{4} This study likes to make a distinction between EOBD and OBD. EOBD is a European standard and only Emissions related. It should be in this context be read as EOBD.
evaluate all possible NO\textsubscript{x} test procedures, including those not so evident in a European PTI centre e.g. chassis dyno tests and remote sensing, and all existing NO\textsubscript{x} test equipment. Furthermore, the possibility to also detect the removal of particulate traps should be evaluated.

Two different kind of test procedures with a focus on NO\textsubscript{x} emissions and applicable in a European PTI environment are identified:

- NO\textsubscript{x} threshold test procedures.
- Component (after-treatment) test procedures.

Furthermore, complimentary measures could be combined with these PTI procedures, such as Remote Sensing or OHMS programs in order to identify high or low emitters. The detection of either dirty or clean vehicles can either bring forward or postpone the existing PTI regime.

4.3. Other consideration

4.3.1. NO\textsubscript{x} behaviour during driving cycles

Favre, Bosteels & May (2013) tested among petrol vehicles also two (2) Euro 6 diesel vehicles on three different driving cycles: NEDC, CADC and WLTC. Vehicle “E” was fitted with a lean NO\textsubscript{x} trap system and vehicle “F” with a SCR system. Some of their test results concerning NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are highlighted below.

Figure 20 - NO\textsubscript{x} emissions over the test cycles at 25°C. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013). Note: The green bars, Vehicle “E” (LNT) and vehicle “F” (SCR), are the diesel vehicles.

Figure 21 - Cumulative NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of vehicle “F” (SCR) operated on CADC at 25°C. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013)

Figure 20 confirms the earlier findings that especially the Artemis CADC cycle has significant higher NO\textsubscript{x} emissions than the type approval limits. On the other hand, the Artemis CADC cycle shows a large confidence interval, as illustrated by Figure 21. The large variations occur at the motorway part of the cycle, at the higher vehicle speeds.
The test temperature has an enormous influence on NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, especially for tests with diesel vehicles. The NO\textsubscript{x} emissions increased when the vehicles are tested at -7°C instead of 25°C on both NEDC as WLTC test cycle (Figure 22).

The figures Figure 23, Figure 24 and Figure 25 illustrate the influence of the abatement system over the different driving cycles. The LNT system (vehicle “E”), in contrast to the SCR system (vehicle “F”), seems to control the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions right from the beginning of the tests. At higher speeds the efficiency of the LNT systems tends to decrease. On the CADC cycle both systems LNT and SCR have breakthroughs of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions.

The abatement systems seem both to be more efficient with a cold-start than with a hot-start, as can be seen in Figure 26 and Figure 27. The authors of the study assign this to the specific calibration of the emission control systems or to temperature considerations.
In 2015 TNO Delft came to the same conclusions concerning cold and hot start on NEDC and WLTP cycles when they tested some Euro 5 Light Commercial vehicles (Kadijk, G., Ligterink, N. and Spreen, J., 2015a). The only difference is the engine temperature at the start of the test. Remark in Figure 28 that at the end of the NEDC cycle the tailpipe temperature of both tests are the same. The extremely higher NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in the second part of the hot test cannot be explained by the test cycle, the engine or exhaust temperature. Similar findings were made on the WLTP test. The authors concluded that the vehicle shows different emission behaviour as well in the cold as in the hot test and that different emission control strategies are applied in both these tests.

On the website of Cambustion (www.cambustion.com) some application notes can be found. Application note CLD03v01 shows that when during an acceleration the actual EGR delivery is lower than the desired one, a fast response NO\textsubscript{x} analyser records a 2\textsuperscript{nd} peak during the transient NO\textsubscript{x} measurement. This is visualised in the Figure 29, taken from the application note CLD03v01.
Furthermore, application note NDIR05v02 shows, that the EGR valve closes and opens again during a gear change with a significant NO\textsubscript{x} production as a result. This can be seen in the figures Figure 30 and Figure 31.
4.3.2. NO\textsubscript{x} PM trade-Off

Nitric oxide, NO\textsubscript{x}, emissions are maximized at high temperatures when the air/fuel mixture is slightly lean of stoichiometric. They are limited during a lean combustion by low flame temperature (extremely lean) and during a rich combustion by a lack of excess O\textsubscript{2}. In the latter, PM emissions will occur. In fact soot particles are formed in the locally rich regions of the inhomogeneous combustion. Hence, decreasing the combustion temperature (e.g. by exhaust gas recirculation) results in lower NO\textsubscript{x} emissions but also in an increase of PM. This dilemma is known as the NO\textsubscript{x}-PM trade-off. Especially with engines before 2000, McCormick, Graboski, Alleman, & Alvarez (2003) detected higher NO\textsubscript{x} emissions up to levels that are close to emission standards, as seen in Figure 32, after the repair of a PM problem. Most repairs after a negative opacity test involved fuel injectors, fuel pumps, fuel pump calibration, throttle controls and injection timing. The deterioration of injectors, pumps etc... lowers combustion temperature with lower NO\textsubscript{x} emissions as a result. Furthermore, Engine operating strategies that lower NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, and thus lowering the engine temperature give an increase in PM.
4.3.3. **Idea of Fast-Pass / Fast-Fail**

In several countries, such as Belgium, the Netherlands, Finland and the UK (Driver & Vehicle Standards Agency, 2014), a fast pass/fast fail criteria for the opacity test is implemented in their emissions procedure. This is the option described in Directive 2010/48/EC to avoid unnecessary testing. Similar principles can also be taken into account to determine a test procedure.

4.3.4. **An innovative dynamometer (Latham S., 2007)**

Latham (2007) described an idea of an innovative dynamometer which consists of free-running rollers to provide a potential cheap representative roadside emission procedure. The system uses the vehicles brakes to apply speed and load to the engine. Of course the vehicle ABS and related systems have to be disengaged before performing the test. The method is a kind of “lug down” test which requires applying full throttle to drive the road wheels to a reasonable operating speed in gear and applying the vehicle brake to apply load to the engine. The brake would be gradually depressed along with the accelerator until an engine speed and a specific load is reached. The brakes need only to resist a fraction of engine load and be applied for short periods until the emissions stabilise so they can be measured. A cooling fan to cool the brakes when the vehicles are tested statically is to be installed.

Engine load information can be captured from the EOBD system.

Some disadvantages of the system are:

- Process would have to be repeated several times to obtain a stable estimate of emissions levels;
- Brake temperature can become high;
- Technique of applying a predetermined load and speed in a controlled manner using both the brake and accelerator are very difficult;
- Tests discussed in the paper were not very repeatable under these conditions;

It is clear that fully laden Heavy Goods Vehicles [HGVs] which have relatively powerful brakes will have the tendency to cause tyre damage due to the forces and speeds involved and thus the test is probably unsuited in this configuration.

4.3.5. **Other assessment parameters: combustion efficiency by means of pollutant/CO$_2$ ratio, Emission Factor [EF] and Vehicle Specific Power [VSP]**

Gas analysers register pollutant concentrations in units as parts per million by volume (ppm volume) and volumetric percent (Vol.%). Remote sensing devices express their results in parts per million metres (ppm.m) and percent meters (%.m). The exhaust plume path length and density of the observed plume are highly variable from vehicle to vehicle. They are dependent upon, among other things, the height of the vehicle’s exhaust pipe, wind, and turbulence behind the vehicle. Therefore, ratios of CO, HC, or NO to CO$_2$, termed Q, Q’ and Q’’ respectively, are given by the remote sensing device. The ratios are constant for a given exhaust plume. The ratios reflect the deviation from perfect combustion encountered during the measurement. The combustion efficiency by means of pollutant/CO$_2$ ratio is
based on the ratio of a specific gaseous pollutant (i.e. CO, HC and NO) to carbon dioxide (CO₂) in volumetric percent (vol.%). Here, the latter acts as an indicator for the combustion process efficiency. Ideally, the combustion of fuel results in power, CO₂ and water. Since only a small percentage of the carbon in the fuel is not emitted as CO₂, the concentration of the CO₂ can be directly correlated with the amount of fuel burned (Sira Ltd, 2003a; Sira Ltd, 2003b).

Assessing combustion efficiency by means of such ratios is interesting because under different engine operating conditions (i.e. lean, stoichiometric or rich burn) they will remain more or less the same, while the absolute emissions change. In addition, it is a more straightforward way of targeting engine malfunctions by indicating an inefficient conversion of the fuel (Sira Ltd, 2003a; Sira Ltd, 2003b).

From the pollutant/CO₂ ratios, the fuel composition and density calculated Bisshop (2014) the emission factors as given by Equation 13, Equation 14 and Equation 15:

\[
Q = \frac{\% \text{ CO}}{\% \text{ CO}_2} \quad Q' = \frac{\% \text{ HC}}{\% \text{ CO}_2} \quad Q'' = \frac{\% \text{ NO}}{\% \text{ CO}_2}
\]

Equation 10: Q as the CO/CO₂ ratio  
Equation 11: Q’ as the HC/CO₂ ratio  
Equation 12: Q'' as the NO/CO₂ ratio

\[
\text{EF CO} \left[ \frac{\text{g CO}}{\text{kg fuel}} \right] = \frac{28 \times Q \times 860}{(1 + Q + 6Q') \times 12}
\]

Equation 13: Emission Factor CO as given by Bisshop (2014)

\[
\text{EF HC} \left[ \frac{\text{g HC}}{\text{kg fuel}} \right] = \frac{2 \times 44 \times Q' \times 860}{(1 + Q + 6Q') \times 12}
\]

Equation 14: Emission Factor HO as given by Bisshop (2014)

\[
\text{EF NO} \left[ \frac{\text{g NO}}{\text{kg fuel}} \right] = \frac{30 \times Q'' \times 860}{(1 + Q + 6Q') \times 12}
\]

Equation 15: Emission Factor NO as given by Bisshop (2014)

These EFs represent the instantaneous gaseous emission of a certain pollutant in grams per litre of fuel burned.

During the San Diego 9th CRC On-Road Vehicle Emissions Workshop the concept of Vehicle Specific Power [VSP] was introduced as a useful parameter for remote sensing and emission studies (Jimenez, McClintock, McRae, Nelson & Zahniser, 1999b). It is the ratio of instantaneous vehicle power to vehicle mass. The VSP is expressed in kilowatt per tonne (kW/tonne)
Equation 16: Calculation of the vehicle-specific power as specified by Jimenez (1999a)

$$VSP = \frac{\frac{d}{dt}(F_{\text{Engine}} + F_{\text{Propulsion}}) + F_{\text{Rolling}} + F_{\text{Aerodynamic}} + F_{\text{Air resistance}}}{m} \times v$$

$$= v \times (1 + \frac{a}{v} + 9.81 \times \text{slope} \times 0.132 + \frac{1}{2} \times \rho_{\text{air}} \times \frac{C_w \times A}{m} \times (v + v_w)^2 \times v$$

With:
- $v$: vehicle speed expressed in [m/s];
- $a$: the acceleration in [m/s$^2$];
- $\rho_{\text{air}}$: the air density in [kg/m$^3$];
- $C_w$: the drag coefficient, (can be obtained from vehicle-specific information databases);
- $A$: the frontal surface in [m$^2$], (can be obtained from vehicle-specific information databases);
- $m$: the vehicle mass;
- $v_w$: the wind velocity, (is often neglected in dynamo-campaigns).

The factor of 0.132 represents the rolling resistance for a reference speed of 25 m/s.
4.4. NO\textsubscript{x} – threshold test procedures

4.4.1. Overview existing NO\textsubscript{x} – threshold test procedures

Some countries and certain US states use more sophisticated test methods which more closely replicate real-world driving conditions. These involve engine loading and require the vehicle to be placed on a power-absorbing dynamometer. These tests are more suitable than idle measurements for the characterisation of NO\textsubscript{x}, which is largely produced at higher engine loads and temperatures (McCrae et al., 2005).

Barlow, Latham, McCrae & Boulter (2009) described more than 250 Loaded transient driving cycles, most of them used in a laboratory to measure exhaust emissions and not suitable for PTI.

The Teddy study (CITA, 2011) identified 3 places where during PTI a NO\textsubscript{x} emission measurement on diesel vehicles was conducted (Australia, China (Beijing) and USA (Portland and Oregon)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Exhaust components measured</th>
<th>Chassis Dyno?</th>
<th>Driving cycle, test procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}, PM, Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DT80, DT60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China, Beijing</td>
<td>Opacity, HC, CO, NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Free Acceleration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, Oregon, Portland</td>
<td>HC, CO, NO\textsubscript{x}, Opacity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>BAR31, kerb idle, OBDII</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12 - Summary of PTI emission tests, from the TEDDIE study, which does have a NO\textsubscript{x} measurement test procedure

A more recent study, (Posada et al., 2015) found that only in Australia a NO\textsubscript{x} test regime for diesel vehicles (heavy-duty) exists. The vehicle test condition is the loaded transient DT80 cycle.

In their overview (see Table 13) also BAR31 and IM240 are identified as test driving cycles for NO\textsubscript{x} measurement and Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) can potentially be utilised to measure NO\textsubscript{x}. These cycles are used to test gasoline vehicles.

Chernich (2003) and Texas A&M Transportation Institute (2013) performed the CARB Test Cycle “Power Curve”, as presented in Figure 34, on each truck brought to their lab in order to evaluate the effectiveness of a NO\textsubscript{x} screening test (Chernich) or diverted from the highways to the chassis dynometer setup for a field screening (Texas A&M Transportation Institute). After warming up the truck, the driver puts the truck in direct gear and gives it full throttle. A load is slowly applied, using the dynamometer until the engine lugs down to past its peak power. Chernich specified that this cycle was chosen to permit NO\textsubscript{x} emissions testing, under high engine load conditions.
Table 13 - Summary of testing protocols for I/M programs. (Taken from Possada et al., 2015).

Figure 34 - the CARB Test Cycle “Power Curve”. (Taken from Chernich, 2003)

As far as our study could establish, there are only a few locations (e.g. Australia and the US states of Oregon and Rhode Island) where a transient emission test is performed on diesel engines. In the Australian DT80 test an opacity measurement is still conducted for the continuation of the historical time series, together with the measurement of PM. It appears that Beijing is the only location at which a free acceleration test is used to measure NOx.
Korea introduced in 2011 the KD147 mode for diesel vehicles (Park, 2015). KD147 mode (Figure 35) was benchmarked from Canada. At the moment only smoke density is measured with for Heavy Duty diesel vehicle the Lug-down 3 mode and for passenger’s cars and light duty diesel vehicles the KD147 mode. The KD147 mode reflects more real driving and is more convenient to use than the lug-down 3 mode. Korea has ongoing projects to develop standards and methods for diesel NOx measurement.

![KD147 Mode](Taken from Park, 2015)

Note: Canadian D147 - this test applies to diesel vehicles only. The driving test is a transient type of test that includes accelerations and decelerations as well as cruise conditions. The vehicle speed must closely follow a trace that is derived from the EPA75 federal test procedure. The test will last from 97 seconds to 147 seconds for all vehicles tested using the D147. The D147 cycle was in some Canadian areas used during AirCare, a vehicle emission test program, operational from 1992 to December 31, 2014.

### 4.4.2. Evaluation of the NOx - threshold test procedures

Fung & Suen (2013) concluded that, since nitrogen oxides emissions are at idling negligible, unloaded tests are incapable of measuring these emissions. This type of test can include idling at both low and high engine speeds, or revving the engine several times. Such simple, low-cost procedures can serve as screening routines for high-emitting vehicles of some pollutants. However, the tests are sometimes inconsistent, are prone to manipulation, and suffer from high false failure rates (CITA, 2011).

The lug-down test, though better than the unloaded tests, is not designed for measuring diesel vehicle particulate matter (PM) and NOx emissions (Fung & Suen, 2013; Posada et al., 2015).

In steady-state loaded tests the engine speed/load is held constant. Relatively inexperienced test facility employees are capable of conducting steady-state loaded tests, achieving acceptable accuracy at moderate cost. Transient loaded tests, in which the vehicle is operated through simulated driving cycles and loads, are longer, costlier, and require relatively skilled staff. They result in a complicated I/M system which lends itself to centralisation (United States Agency for International Development [USAID], 2004).
Many studies have shown that the correlation between exhaust smoke and NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from diesel vehicles are poor, even when measured under a controlled load on a dynamometer. It has also found that diesel vehicles that had been repaired to reduce visual smoke may have increased NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. Anyon, Brown, Pattison, Beville-Anderson, Walls & Mowle (2000) compared emissions over various short tests and emissions over the CUEDC\textsuperscript{5}. The correlation coefficients for the comparisons are given in Table 14.

The snap idle test proved to be an extremely poor indicator of PM levels, even though it provided a reasonable correlation with maximum CUEDC opacity levels. Its HC and NO\textsubscript{x} results had the lowest correlation with the CUEDC of all the tests. The D550 and the lug-down tests also proved to be poor indicators of PM emissions. Their NO\textsubscript{x} and HC results provided a fair correlation with the CUEDC. These tests were, nevertheless, very useful in highlighting a fundamental requirement for any in-service diesel test: the need to measure PM emissions under transient engine loading conditions. The two transient tests - the AC5080 and the DT80 - were the best-performing tests (Anyon et al., 2000).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Short test} & \textbf{Correlation coefficient ($R^2$)} & \\
& \textbf{Average NO\textsubscript{x} (g/s)} & \textbf{Average HC (g/s)} & \textbf{Average PM (LLSP) (mg/s)} & \textbf{PM filter (mg)} & \textbf{Average opacity (%)} & \textbf{Maximum opacity (%)} \\
\hline
AC5080 & 0.95 & 0.92 & 0.70 & 0.71 & 0.87 & 0.80 \\
\hline
DT80 & 0.90 & 0.85 & 0.63 & 0.58 & 0.68 & 0.81 \\
\hline
Lug-down & 0.60 & 0.68 & 0.22 & - & 0.26 & 0.68 \\
\hline
D550 & 0.64 & 0.53 & -0.18 & -0.23 & 0.03 & -0.23 \\
\hline
Snap idle & 0.47 & 0.23 & -0.02 & - & 0.29 & -0.59 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Correlation between short tests and CUEDC. (Taken from Anyon et al., 2000).}
\end{table}

The results of the above Table 14 after normalising for mass are given in the Table 15. The normalisation by mass is done by dividing the emission test results (g/km) by the vehicle test mass (tonnes) in order to link the emission levels to the useful payload and power output of the vehicle tested.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{5} CUEDC = Composite Urban Emission Drive Cycle, developed by the New South Wales Environmental Protection Agency in Australia. It consists of four segments, each of which represents driving in a different urban traffic condition (congested, minor roads, arterial roads and highway/freeway).
After normalising for vehicle mass, Table 15, the DT80 performed better than the AC5080, with correlation $R^2$=0.84 for NO$_x$. Furthermore, Anyon et al. (2000) investigated the possibility to detect high polluters for PM, NO$_x$ and smoke with DT80 and AC5080 tests. Both tests performed extremely well, except for the AC5080 in respect of NO$_x$ emissions.

Via one of the authors of the ESMAP study, we received the following graphs (Figure 36, Figure 37, Figure 38 and Figure 39). Remark that these correlations for different cycles are given for an opacity test.
Anyon et al. (2000) also provided an overall test ranking based on quantitative and qualitative criteria. The results are shown in Table 16. The AC5080 and DT80 tests both rated highly, and were followed by the snap idle test. Because of the DT80’s superior performance in identifying high emitters it was considered to be the best test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>AC5080</th>
<th>DT80</th>
<th>Lug-down</th>
<th>D550</th>
<th>Snap idle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correlation with CUEDC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opacity</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity to reflect changes in emissions over the CUEDC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to identify reasons for high emissions</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety of application</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suitability for use across the range of vehicles tested</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of use</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time requirements</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource requirements</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suitability for use in large-scale in-service vehicle testing programme</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall rating</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16 - Evaluation of short tests (10= highest potential value, 1= no value). (Taken from Anyon et al., 2000).
4.5. NO\textsubscript{x} – abatement component test procedures

4.5.1. Exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) component tests – “Capelec”

During the 8\textsuperscript{th} CITA WG2 meeting, 7\textsuperscript{th} - 8\textsuperscript{th} October 2015 in London the French Capelec company introduced a possible idea to test the functionality of the EGR valve (Petelet, 2015).

The proposed procedure is based on two stages where the status of the EGR valve is known:
- Fast Idle (EGR should be open)
- Maintained High rpm level (EGR will close)

Furthermore, the action of the EGR has a direct impact on the engine filling ratio. Capelec calculate the engine filling ratio $\rho_{\text{remplissage}}$ as follows (G. Petelet, personal communication, March 20, 2016):

$$\rho_{\text{remplissage}} = \frac{\text{Real Mass Flow}}{\text{Mass Flow par construction}}$$

Mass Flow par Construction = \(\frac{60 \times N \times Cyl}{2 \times 1E6 \times \rho_{\text{air}}}\)

$$\rho_{\text{remplissage}} = \frac{\dot{m}_{\text{air}}}{60 \times N \times Cyl \times 1E6 \times \rho_{\text{air}}}$$

Equation 17: Capelecs engine filling ratio $\rho_{\text{remplissage}}$

With:
- $\dot{m}_{\text{air}}$: Air Mass flow in [kg/h], taken from MAF sensor via OBD;
- $N$: revolutions per minute in [rpm], taken via OBD;
- $Cyl$: Engine displacement in [cm\textsuperscript{3}];
- $\rho_{\text{air}}$: the air density in [kg/m\textsuperscript{3}];

![Diagram showing the effect of EGR on engine filling ratio with $\rho_{\text{remplissage}} > 1$ and $\rho_{\text{remplissage}} < 1$. (reproduced by kind permission of Capelec).]
Figure 40 shows the meaning of the engine filling ratio $\rho_{\text{remplissage}}$. When the ration $\rho_{\text{remplissage}} > 1$ means a normal operation due to the turbo and that $\dot{m}_{\text{air}} > \frac{60 \times N}{2 \times 1E6} \frac{Cyl}{\rho_{\text{air}}}$, and thus EGR valve is closed. When the EGR valve is open, a part of the intake air comes from the EGR and thus $\rho_{\text{remplissage}} < 1$.

Capelec propose the following test conditions:

![Diagram of RPM over time](image)

**Figure 41 - “Capelec” cycle to test EGR. (reproduced by kind permission of Capelec).**

1: 20s at Idle rpm : acquisition of NO$_{x1}$, rpm and Engine filling ratio $\rho_1$
2: 20s at Fast Idle rpm (2500 rpm): acquisition of NO$_{x2}$, rpm and Engine filling ratio $\rho_2$
3: 20s at Idle rpm: acquisition NO$_{x3}$, rpm and Engine filling ratio $\rho_3$
4: 10s at high rpm level (> 3500 rpm): acquisition NO$_{x4}$, rpm and Engine filling ratio $\rho_4$
5: 2 free acceleration: maximum pic NO$_{x51}$ and NO$_{x52}$, rpm, Engine filling ratio pic $\rho_{51}$ and $\rho_{52}$

During each procedure’s state rpm is monitored via OBD. The user will be informed with colour code regarding live rpm value validating (or not) the rpm for this procedure’s state. A valid rpm is required in order to validate each state and each state transition. In state 4 minimum 5s at 3500 rpm is needed. In case of rpm limited vehicles the procedure will nevertheless go further on to the next stages (possible in stages 4 and 5), with a remark if the rpm was not validated.

Evaluations based on the engine filling ratio as well as on the NO$_x$ values are taken into consideration:

- **Engine filling ratio criteria :**
  1. $\rho_2 < 1$ (EGR open during Fast Idle)
  2. $\rho_3 > 1$ (EGR closed at high rpm)
  3. $\rho_{51} > 1$ and $\rho_{52} > 1$ (EGR closed during free accelerations)

- **NO$_x$ criteria**
  4. NO$_{x4}$/ NO$_{x2}$ > 1.2 (higher NO$_x$ values when EGR valve closed)
  5. NO$_x$, NO$_{x3}$/ NO$_{x1}$ > 1.5 or NO$_{x1}$ < 50 ppm and NO$_{x3}$ < 50 ppm
     (If those 2 NO$_x$ values are different at idle rpm = this means that there is an EGR action on one of them).

Note that in case of rpm limited vehicle at 2500 rpm, the engine filling ratio criteria could not be used due to the fact that measures at stage 4 and 5 are impossible to proceed.
4.5.2. Exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) component tests – “Norris (2005)”

Norris described in the study “Low Emission Diesel Research” (2005) a test procedure to check the continued operation of the EGR unit by the use of 4-gas analysers. The investigation into the potential use of thermometry to detect malfunctioning EGR valves was not found to be appropriate to develop a practical in-service test since accessibility (e.g. engine covers) and thus dismantling was required.

He introduced a gentle acceleration. The study showed that during gentle accelerations EGR systems operate in different ways. To ensure that the test included a working region of the EGR the engine speed was slowly increased from idle to a suitable upper limit (3,500 rpm), with the vehicle unloaded (i.e. neutral gear selected). The rate of increase in the engine speed was not described, but a slope of 50 rpm per second would appear to be reasonable. We refer to this test hereafter as ‘Norris-A’. Since the EGR unit is an important emission-reduction system for NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, this could be an important test. In the study itself the working of the EGR was determined using concentrations of CO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}.

On all 8 tested vehicles a difference at a certain rpm (in the examples of Figure 42 and Figure 43 it as at 2,500 rpm) in exhaust gas composition (CO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}) was seen as the EGR switches from gas recirculation to preventing exhaust gas from recirculating.

![CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations for Vehicle 2 with functional and disabled EGR unit](image)

*Figure 42 - Illustrative example of CO\textsubscript{2} tailpipe concentrations as a function of engine speed from a vehicle fitted with an EGR system. (Taken from Norris, 2005)*
However, the narrow engine speed range where over the EGR unit is turned off, as seen in the Figure 42 and Figure 43, was noticed in 3 of the 8 tested vehicles. For the remaining 5 vehicles the turning off is pulsed over a wider range. This arises because of differences in the way EGR units operate. Norris introduced therefore, a proposal for a two engine speed test. However, the low and high optimum engine speeds (when the EGR is on and off, respectively) varies from vehicle to vehicle. From the CO$_2$ and O$_2$ concentrations at these optimum speeds it could be concluded that there are no universally applicable concentration thresholds. No O$_2$ (or NO$_x$) concentration is appropriate for all vehicles since for some vehicles concentration at the lower speed could be in the range of the concentration at the higher speed for other vehicles.

Notwithstanding, for each vehicle the CO$_2$ concentration at the optimum lower engine speed is higher than the concentration at the optimum higher engine speed. For the EGR valve that is stuck (being either permanently on or off) the order is the other way around. For the O$_2$ concentrations the order is reversed.

A stuck EGR valve can be deducted at the lower engine speed from the Table 17.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EGR Function</th>
<th>CO$_2$ concentration</th>
<th>O$_2$ concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open, EGR occurring</td>
<td>3 % - 5 %</td>
<td>14 % - 16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed no EGR occurring</td>
<td>1.8 % - 2.5 %</td>
<td>17.2 % - 18.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17 - EGR function at low engine speed. (Created from Norris, 2005)

Norris stated that the measurement precision is relatively high for the following reasons:

- 2 independent sensors: CO$_2$ and O$_2$;
- Consistency between the 2 sensors can be checked since these gas concentrations are related: $1.5 \text{ CO}_2 + \text{ O}_2 = 21$ to 21.5;
- Other meter checks can be made e.g. use of calibration gases;
• CO$_2$ and O$_2$ are the primary products of combustion. Consequently, they are a fundamental consequence of the engine running and are not subject to perturbations like catalyst temperature.

In the same study another test cycle was used in order to turn on the EGR. For some of the vehicles tested merely by gently touching the accelerator pedal at idle (up to 900-1000 rpm) caused the EGR unit to turn on, and then after a certain time (up to 2 minutes) to turn off again. We refer to this test hereafter as ‘Norris-B’. This procedure was not applicable to all vehicles, but for those where it worked it was adjudged that this test was inherently simpler, quicker and has better signal to noise characteristics than for measurements at engine speed above idle.
4.5.3. Diagnostic screening test – “Pillot et al. (2014)” – “Spheretech-Bosch”

As already mentioned in 2.4., the French study (Pillot et al., 2014) monitored the different gas fractions during an engine cycle composed of 4 successive steady-state regimes without any load and an engine stop delay. The engine cycle was the following:

- 40 seconds at idle
- 40 seconds at 3200 rpm
- 15 seconds at full throttle
- 30 seconds at idle
- 90 seconds from the engine shut-off

The Non-dispersive infrared analyser [NDIR] was equipped with a software program which displays and analyses the exhaust gas composition and can detect defects in the combustion line and after treatment system. More than 130 defects and combinations of defects can be identified based on a comparison to threshold values. Here for a necessary input data e.g. brand and model of the vehicle, vehicle mileage, model year, engine technology, fuel injection type and MIL, etc. is needed.

Via personal communication between a member of the Project Steering Group and Mr. Pillot we were informed that the equipment used in the study was a Spheretech-Bosch equipment. Petelet (2015) explained in the 8th CITI WG2 the principle of Spheretech-Bosch: The O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations give an image of the richness of an air/fuel mixture, which allows evaluating indirectly the clogging of the air intake. Hence, when air intake is clogging, less air is passing through so as a result shows an increase of the ratio between the fuel injected flow rate and the air intake flow. The first flow has to be constant in order to maintain the load, and the latter, the air intake flow is decreasing. The O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations at tail pipe vary inversely proportionally when the richness of an air/fuel mixture is increasing more or less as a monotonic and linear function. Furthermore, maximum engine contamination is matching with particular gas concentration. It seems that Spheretech has two key thresholds available (Niv1: dirty and Niv2: very dirty).

4.5.4. AVL DiTest rpm ramp for NO\textsubscript{x} measurement – “Schweiger (2016)”

In a special presentation for this SET II project, Schweiger informed us about the AVL research to a suitable unloaded idle condition for NO\textsubscript{x} measurement. They investigated 12 different acceleration ramps with different rotational speeds (rpm) and ramp times. The focus went, due to the fact that most different modern Diesels engines don’t reach 3500 rpm during idle, to idle ramps less than 3500 rpm. Different defects were simulated via application software (ETAS-INCA). INCA is a measuring and calibration environment for electronic control units. The following defects: LNT/CSF full, EGR 20%, EGR 30%, EGR 50%, EGR off (0%) and cooler bypass off, were introduced on 2 vehicles (a 4-Cylinder EU6-RDE Diesel passenger car (2l capacity, 110kW) with NO\textsubscript{x}-storage catalyst, DPF and inactive SCR-system and a 6-Cylinder EU6-RDE Diesel passenger car (3l capacity, 190kW) with NO\textsubscript{x}-storage catalyst, DPF and active SCR-system).
After evaluation, they propose the following test procedure:

- Coolant temperature: >80°C
- The engine has to be accelerated within the defined scatter band (red lines of Figure 48). The acceleration from idle speed to approx. 2500rpm should be done constantly within 4 – 11 seconds.
- Keeping the rpm stable at 2500rpm for about 5-6 seconds
- Immediate release of the gas paddle after this 5-6 seconds

![Figure 48 - AVL unloaded idle cycle for NOx measurement. (Taken from Schweiger, 2016).](image)

The max NOx value, during this idle acceleration is measured. The results with the proposed ramp are shown in Table 18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure</th>
<th>NOx measured (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle in good condition</td>
<td>&lt; 20 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNT/CSF Full loaded, functionality locked (rich operation)</td>
<td>230 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR locked at 20%</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR locked at 30%</td>
<td>140 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR locked at 50 %</td>
<td>260 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR locked at 0 %</td>
<td>110 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 18 - AVL Ramp test results. (Created from Schweiger, 2016)*
4.6. Remote Sensing (RSD) and On-road Heavy-duty Emissions Monitoring System (OHMS)

In the US, remote sensing has already been in use for two decades (Bishop & Stedman, 2008). In fact, Donald H. Stedman (University of Denver) invented an on-road remote sensor for vehicle emissions (Fuel Efficiency Automobile Test; FEAT) as well as an on-road heavy-duty truck measurement system (On-road Heavy Duty Monitoring System; OHMS). Opus Inspection licensed and commercialized the FEAT system under its Accuscan™ brand. Opus has used its remote sensors to complement United States periodic technical inspection (PTI) programs since the mid-1990s and currently collect over 10 million measurements annually for both Monitoring and Screening applications.

In order to save time and money, EPA introduced remote sensing within the concept of “clean screening” as part of the Inspection/Maintenance programs. A vehicle can be exempt from the next scheduled PTI test when it has been identified as a vehicle producing low emissions. (note: In the US PTI is often limited to an emission check).

This clean screening could be:

- Using conventional remote sensing devices (RSDs);
- Vehicle emissions low emitter profiling (based on the statistics of the historic failure rate) and/or;
- Implementing model year (vehicle age) exemptions (EPA, 1998).

Opus Inspection presented its Accuscan™ technology during the CITA conference in Dubai (Sands, 2015). They also made a live demo of their unmanned system on the road. The remote sensing device is described well by Borken-Kleefeld (2013) and the Amt für Abfall, Wasser, Energie und Luft (AWEL) of the Kanton Zürich in Switzerland (http://www.awel.zh.ch/internet/baudirektion/awel/de/aktuell/mitteilung/2016/lh_rsd_bericht.html). Vehicle remote sensing is a non-intrusive technique based on the spectroscopy (light absorption) principle able to screen the emissions of several hundreds vehicles in one hour. Remote sensing measures massively and unobtrusively the real driving emissions of a circulating fleet while considering its specific kinetic conditions. An exhaust plume is screened by an Infra-Red and Ultraviolet light source. Via the attenuation of the IR light at specific wavelengths the concentrations of the emissions CO2, CO, and HC are determined. The same principle is used for the NOx and PM (opacity) concentrations via UV light. Modern remote sensing devices can also measure NO2, NH3 and SO2 (Borken-Kleefeld, 2013).

Furthermore, Borken-Kleefeld specified the measurement of NOx and opacity. The simultaneous measurement of NO and NO2 is desirable in order to have an accurate total result of NOx emissions from diesel vehicles with modern after-treatment devices. If the opacity is measured using both IR and UV wavelengths, black smoke can be differentiated from blue or white smoke. The concentrations are given for a specific vehicle at the specific driving condition (speed and acceleration) when it passes the remote sensor.
The system consists of the following elements:

- Light source (IR/UV) and detector module;
- Lateral transfer Mirror;
- Speed and Acceleration detector;
- Smart Camera (License plate recognition);
- Data recording device;
- Data Processing & Video Display.

(Sands 2015; Niranjan Vescio from Opus Inspection, personal communication, January 29, 2016).

Remote sensing devices measure emissions in parts-per-million-metres (ppm.m) and percent-meters (%.m). The exhaust plume path length and density of the observed plume are variable depending upon the height of the vehicle’s exhaust pipe, wind, and turbulence behind the vehicle, etc... Since only a small percentage of the carbon in the fuel is not emitted as CO2, the increment in the instantaneous concentration of the CO2 is directly proportional to the amount of fuel consumed. Therefore, ratios of CO, HC or NO to CO2, are given by the remote sensing device from which emission factors in gram pollutant per kg fuel are calculated. Bishop (2014) describes the calculation of emission factors from the pollutant/CO2 ratios, the fuel composition and density.

Remote sensing devices have their strengths and limitations as mentioned in Table 19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large sample size: RSDs can provide several hundred valid measurements per hour</td>
<td>Suitable traffic conditions and measurement set-up (clearly separable single lane traffic, steady acceleration, engine under load).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDs operate under real driving conditions</td>
<td>RSDs do not operate during rain, snow, high winds or other adverse weather conditions to ensure accurate, uncontaminated readings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDs can provide very accurate emission results on fleet averages due to the large sample size.</td>
<td>RSDs excludes emissions from idling or during deceleration (insufficient exhaust to measure).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDs can offer coarse statements about an individual vehicle’s emission rate adequate for screening purposes when on-road measurements are suitably qualified.</td>
<td>RSD emissions are measured at moderate VSP and are not representative under highway driving conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The following applications are brought forward as particularly suitable for vehicle RSD techniques: Fleet emission monitoring (Fleet characterisation) Emission Program evaluation Cross-check on I/M performance High emitter screening Clean screening (Low emitter screening)</td>
<td>RSDs are set-up to measure hot exhaust emissions, thus cold engines are to be excluded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19 - RSD’s Strengths and Limitations. (Created from e.g. Borken-Kleefeld, 2013 and website Opus inspection)
In Europe, use of Remote Sensing is limited to some countries or regions and to some university research institutes such as (in alphabetic order):

- **Switzerland**: EMPA Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials, Science and Technology had in their research on behalf of the Federal Office for the Environment FOEN (CH) “Validation measurements Remote Sensing - PEMS - Chassis Dyno” the aim to validate the accuracy of the driving situation, the accuracy of the emission measurement and the influences on the measurement principle. Götsch & Alt (2015) gave also an overview of the NO and CO emission measurements on a yearly one month remote sensing campaign from 2000 until 2015. The average CO concentration for cars have been reduced since 2000 by 50 %, although they have been stagnating since 2007. For NO, a reduction of 30 % is observed. Since 2013, the downwards trend is broken. Diesel cars have much higher NO values than the threshold value for every model year from 1995 until 2015. On the other hand, Petrol cars seem to have met the threshold values for the last 10 years.

- **Austria**: IIASA International Institute for Applied System Analysis (AT) did a lot of analysis and publications on the data from more than a decade of remote sensing measurements at Zurich/CH. Their report highlighted that high in-use NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from diesel vehicles were identified with remote sensing programs. Furthermore, for Euro 2 and Euro 3 diesel technology deterioration of NO\textsubscript{x} emission cleaning systems were identified, while Euro 1 and Euro 4 technologies seem to be stable. (Borken-Kleefeld & Chen, 2015; Chen & Borken-Kleefeld, 2014; Chen & Borken-Kleefeld, 2016).

- **Sweden**: IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute (SE): IVL has already a long history with remote sensing (Sjödin & Jerksjö, 2008). Martin Jerksjö and Åke Sjödin from IVL ran 5 programs since 2007 on several on-road emission measurements in Sweden. The latest program aimed more than 30.000 vehicles in the period September/October 2016. For 2017-2018 IVL will focus on Euro 6 and CNG heavy duty buses.

- **Spain**: RSLab (Remote Sensing Laboratory) and CIEMAT (Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas) (E): They executed a Remote sensing project CORETRA commissioned by the Spanish Ministry for Environment in 2014/2015.

- **United Kingdom**: Universities in the UK such as King’s College London, University of Leeds, Newcastle University and University of York (UK) had campaigns where they used the FEAT instrument from the University of Denver for two 6-week campaigns on six locations in London and Oxford. They were interested in total NO\textsubscript{x}, NO\textsubscript{2} and NH\textsubscript{3}, controlled test track conditions and a comparison with the RSD4600 remote sensing device from Opus Inspection. Also they found evidence concerning higher NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from passenger cars and light duty vehicles. (Carslaw, et al., 2011a; Carslaw, et al., 2011b; Carslaw & Rhys-Tyler, 2013).

Most of the information on European Remote Sensing programs was gathered during the 1st European On-Road Emission Remote Sensing Workshop, 6-7 September 2016, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Recently Hager Environmental & Atmospheric Technologies (H.E.A.T.) developed EDAR (Emission Detection and Reporting), a laser-based technology capable of remotely detecting and measuring the pollutants emitted by in-use-vehicles. Independent studies on this equipment were not found. Denis,
Budd, Hager & Hager (2015) described the equipment. The EDAR system is an unmanned, automated vehicle emissions measurement system, which collects data on four pollutants (CO, CO₂, NO, and HC). The gas sensor looks down from above and emits a sheet of invisible laser light from above that can unambiguously measure specified molecules emitted from any vehicle that breaks the beam. EDAR measures the entire exhaust plume as the vehicle passes allowing for determination of the mass emission rates of the vehicle.

The system includes:
- an eye-safe laser-based infrared gas sensor;
- a vehicle speed and acceleration sensor;
- a system to measure current weather conditions;
- a license plate recognition camera.

The 2014 On-Road Vehicle Survey from the Connecticut Vehicle Inspection Program (Denis, Budd, Hager & Hager, 2015) identified with the EDAR equipment a small percentage of the vehicles as high emitters (1.7% of the final sample). High emitting vehicles were identified as those exceeding thresholds used in earlier remote sensing studies (500 ppm HC, 3% CO, 2,000 ppm NO).

The OHMS, On-road Heavy-duty Emissions Monitoring System, was developed as an outgrowth of remote sensing techniques by the University of Denver. This OHMS system is described by Johnson, J. (2015) in his presentation for the 2015 PEMS International Workshop. Photos and schemes could be found of the partially enclosed tunnel-like structure where the system collects the exhaust emissions while the vehicle is running through this structure. The system measures black carbon emissions (BC), Total PM particulate numbers (PN) and particulate mass, as well as the gaseous pollutants CO, CO₂, THC, NO and NOₓ. In the study from the Texas A&M Transportation Institute (2013) a good correlation is shown between OHMS measurements and the PEMS data for stop and go testing (R² = 0.8081).
5. Lab tests results

5.1. Lab Tests by TÜV NORD

5.1.1. Test vehicle and conditions

Both variants of the test cycle were driven with PEMS on a test track. No trouble codes were detected. For preconditioning ca. 11 km were driven at 90 km/h. The ambient temperature was 3,8°C and the ambient pressure 1028 mbar.

![Test vehicle TÜV NORD.](image)

Figure 49 – Test vehicle TÜV NORD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VIN:</th>
<th>JTMWPREV10D008969</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer:</td>
<td>Toyota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>XA3(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade name:</td>
<td>RAV4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine type:</td>
<td>N47C20A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity:</td>
<td>1995 cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine power:</td>
<td>105 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abgasnorm:</td>
<td>EU6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typeapproval:</td>
<td>e6<em>2001/116</em>0105*15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission typeapproval:</td>
<td>e6<em>715/2007</em>2015/45W<em>0181</em>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration date:</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage:</td>
<td>56 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear box:</td>
<td>manual, 6-Gear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.2. Test results

5.1.3. ASM2050 Variant 1 and 2 Tests

Figure 50 & 51 show the instantaneous NO\textsubscript{x} Results of the tests in Variant 1 and Variant 2.

![Figure 50 – Results ASM2050 Variant 1.](image1)

![Figure 51 – Results ASM2050 Variant 2.](image2)

It is obvious that NO\textsubscript{x} emissions increase during acceleration phases. In both tests increase during the acceleration from 20 km/h to 50 km/h in 2nd is higher than increase during acceleration from 0 km/h 20 km/h. During the second acceleration in Variant 1 test NO\textsubscript{x} emissions increase up to 300 ppm and in Variant 2 test to almost 500 ppm.

Because of delay of speed signal it was difficult to follow the cycle speed.
5.1.4. “Spheretech” Test Procedure

Test cycle 1

Figure 52 shows the NOx emissions in relation to the motor speed. In the moment of acceleration, the Engine produces a small amount of load which leads to an increase of NOx.

![Figure 52 – NOx emissions in relation to engine speed.](image)

Test cycle 2

Figure 53 shows the same behavior of the engine. NOx emissions increase when the engine speed increases. The short delay between the increase of NOx and the increase of the engine speed is the result of the working section of the measurement system.

![Figure 53 – NOx emissions in relation to engine speed.](image)
The BOSCH analyzer showed suitable NOx results. With the both of the Bosch procedures you can see the increase of NOx when the engine accelerates. In Both cases the EGR rate is decreased in order to make the combustion more effective and to spare fuel. The negative effect is that the combustion temperature increases and in combination with an increase of the engines load the emission of NOx increases.

In general this Method is able to measure even small increase of NOx even by this small amount of load. The problem is to differentiate between the increase of NOx because of the engines dynamic or because of a broken catalytic system.

5.1.5. Summary and Outlook

NOx emissions are in the focus of environmental policy. Therefore a method for evaluating nitric oxides during the periodic emission test is essential. Within the SET 2 project several methods for judging NOx emission during PTI are examined.

The analyzers used at TÜV NORD showed useful results, the accuracy seems to be adequate for evaluating NOx emissions during periodic emission tests.

For producing engine load, tests on road or test tracks are not necessarily needed. For reasons of better repeatability we prefer ASM2050 tests on chassis dynamometer. Although NOx emissions are produced especially at high engine loads and high temperatures within the combustion chamber also the BOSCH method seems to deliver suitable NOx values by using idle and high idle speeds without using a dynamometer.

There has to be a measurement campaign to evaluate the results. A wide range of cars should be tested without and with malfunction of exhaust aftertreatment systems. After evaluation of data there has to be a decision on a limit of NOx emission in ASM2050 cycle or idle tests.

Future tasks:

- Comparison of OBD read out (fault codes, RC Status, status information) versus the tailpipe emission test
- Selection of suitable test methods including method of engine load setting
- Field test of selected test methods
- Definition of suitable thresholds for NOx
- Compile a precise recommendation including a cost-benefit analysis
5.2. Lab Tests by TÜV SÜD

5.2.1. Test conditions

Four different vehicles were used by the TÜV SÜD lab tests. The complete data set of these individual vehicles are here listed. The main differences of which are listed in Table 4. The vehicles differ both in their emission standard (Euro 5 or 6), as well as in their transmission type (automatic or manual) and continue in their capacity or their number of cylinders (four or six cylinders). In contrast, all four vehicles are equipped with an exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) valve, a diesel particulate filter (DPF) and a NOX storage catalytic converter (NSC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>Transmission Type</th>
<th>Capacity / number of cylinders</th>
<th>Emission Class</th>
<th>Drive</th>
<th>Introduced error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>1.968 / 4</td>
<td>Euro 5</td>
<td>Four-wheel</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>1.598 / 4</td>
<td>Euro 6</td>
<td>Front</td>
<td>LMM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>1.598 / 4</td>
<td>Euro 6</td>
<td>Front</td>
<td>AGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>2.993 / 6</td>
<td>Euro 6</td>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>AGR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 20 – Test vehicles TÜV SÜD.

In the case of vehicle 1 with which the ASM2050 cycle, due to the four-wheel drive, was traced on an all-wheel dynamometer, only one measurement could be carried out with a non-manipulated vehicle condition. For the remaining three vehicles, either the air mass meter (LMM) or the EGR valve was disconnected. To determine whether a noticeably higher nitrogen oxide emissions can be measured. Vehicle 2 was initially measured in the intact condition and then passed through both test methods again with a staked air mass meter (LMM). Disconnecting the LMM causes the engine to operate with a different composition of air and diesel. This corresponds to the error "Fat operation" in the measurement of AVL DiTEST GmbH. For vehicles 3 and 4, the EGR valve was disconnected after measuring the AVL and ASM2050 procedures in an intact vehicle condition. This was in contrast to vehicle 2 easily accessible from the top of the engine compartment. In contrast to the measurements of the AVL DiTEST GmbH, it was unfortunately not possible to determine the position after disconnecting the EGR valve. However, based on the following test results, the position of the EGR valve can be estimated. The following environmental conditions were present in the exhaust gas laboratory TÜV Hessen in Pfungstadt:

- Temperature: 23 °C (prescribed temperature for the conditioning hall)
- Air pressure: 1.000 mbar (Pfungstadt lies at an altitude of 105 m)
- Real humidity: 55%
In order to make the measurement results more comparable, a portable emission measurement system (PEMS) from the manufacturer AVL LIST GmbH was used for both methods for nitrogen oxide measurement. This model was the type AVL 492 GAS PEMS IS. As a rule, a PEMS measuring device is mounted to the vehicle on the trailer hitch, as shown in Figure 16, in order to be able to carry out nitrogen oxide measurements under real conditions while driving on the road. In this case, however, it was a stationary PEMS meter, as measurements were taken on a chassis dynamometer. With a five-gas PEMS, not only nitrogen oxides (NO and NO2 are measured individually), but also CO, CO2 and O2 are measured.

**Vehicle 1:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fahrzeugdaten:</th>
<th>Zulassungsbescheinigung Teil I</th>
<th>Audi A4 Allroad 2.0 TDI (Diesel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herstellerschlüsselnummer (HSN)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0588 (Audi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typschlüsselnummer (TSN)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>ASV 00084 (8K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrgestellnummer (VIN)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>WAUZZZ8K2FAxxxxxxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getriebeart</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Automatik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zul. Gesamt-/Leergewicht</td>
<td>F.2/G</td>
<td>2440/1745 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegstreckenzähler</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.536 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erstzulassung</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>09.12.2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissionsklasse</td>
<td>14/14.1</td>
<td>Euro 5/35J0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG-Typgenehmigung o. ABE</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>e1<em>2001/0430</em>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abgasnachbehandlungssysteme</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AGR/DPF/NSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leistung</td>
<td>P.2</td>
<td>130 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubraum</td>
<td>P.1</td>
<td>1968 cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrzeugklasse</td>
<td>J/4</td>
<td>M1/AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angetriebene Achsen</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Vorder- und Hinterachse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zylinderanzahl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 21 – Fahrzeugdaten Audi A4 Allroad 2.0 TDI*
**Vehicle 2:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fahrzeugdaten:</th>
<th>Zulassungsbescheinigung Teil I</th>
<th>Audi A3 1.6 TDI (Diesel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herstellerschlüsselnummer (HSN)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0588 (Audi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typschlüsselnummer (TSN)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>AYB 00058 (8V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrgestellnummer (VIN)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>WAUZZZ8V1GAxxxxxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getriebeart</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Manuell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zul. Gesamt-/Leergewicht</td>
<td>F.2/G</td>
<td>1820/1335 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegstreckenzähler</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.363 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erstzulassung</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>31.03.2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissionsklasse</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>EURO 6/36W0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG-Typgenehmigung o. ABE</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>e1<em>2007/46</em>0607*19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abgasnachbehandlungssysteme</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AGR/DPF/NSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leistung</td>
<td>P.2</td>
<td>81 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubraum</td>
<td>P.1</td>
<td>1.598 cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrzeugklasse</td>
<td>J/4</td>
<td>M1/AB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angetriebene Achsen</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Vorderachse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zylinderanzahl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 22: Fahrzeugdaten Audi A3 1.6 TDI*
### SET II

**Sustainable Emission Test for diesel vehicles involving NO\textsubscript{x} measurements**

**Vehicle 3:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fahrzeugdaten:</th>
<th>Zulassungsbescheinigung Teil I</th>
<th>Opel Meriva 1.6 TDI (Diesel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herstellerschlüsselnummer (HSN)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0035 (Opel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typschlüsselnummer (TSN)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>00000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrgestellnummer (VIN)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>W0LSH9EUXG4xxxxxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getriebeart</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Manuell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zul. Gesamt-/Leergewicht</td>
<td>F.2/G</td>
<td>1543/2040 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegstreckenzähler</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.200 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erstzulassung</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>31.03.2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissionsklasse</td>
<td>14/14.1</td>
<td>Euro 6/36W0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG-Typgenehmigung o. ABE</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abgasnachbehandlungssysteme</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AGR/DPF/NSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leistung</td>
<td>P.2</td>
<td>100 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubraum</td>
<td>P.1</td>
<td>1.598 cm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrzeugklasse</td>
<td>J/4</td>
<td>01/0200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angetriebene Achsen</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Vorderachse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zylinderanzahl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 23: Fahrzeugdaten Opel Meriva 1.6 TDI*
Vehicle 4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fahrzeugdaten</th>
<th>Zulassungsbescheinigung Teil I</th>
<th>BMW 530d (Diesel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herstellerschlüsselnummer (HSN)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0005 (BMW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typschlüsselnummer (TSN)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>BGD 001111 (5K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrgestellnummer (VIN)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>WBA5K1101Dxxxxxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getriebeart</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Automatik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zul. Gesamt-/Leergewicht</td>
<td>F.2/G</td>
<td>2470/1895 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegstreckenzähler</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57.197 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erstzulassung</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>06.05.2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissionsklasse</td>
<td>14/14.1</td>
<td>Euro 6/36W0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG-Typgenehmigung o. ABE</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>e1<em>2007/46</em>0455*10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abgasnachbehandlungssysteme</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AGR/DPF/NSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leistung</td>
<td>P.2</td>
<td>190 kw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubraum</td>
<td>P.1</td>
<td>2.993 cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrzeugklasse</td>
<td>J/4</td>
<td>M1/AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angetriebene Achsen</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hinterachse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zylinderanzahl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 24: Fahrzeugdaten BMW 530d
### 5.2.1.1. Evaluations of the AVL test cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>155,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>110,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>83,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>141,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>143,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 25: Fahrzeug 1 Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>145,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>100,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LMM abgesteckt</td>
<td>257,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LMM abgesteckt</td>
<td>256,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 26: Fahrzeug 2 Audi A3 AVL Messung

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>190,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>166,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>216,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>236,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 27: Fahrzeug 3 Opel Meriva AVL Messung
### Set II
Sustainable Emission Test for diesel vehicles involving NO\textsubscript{x} measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>155,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>135,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>171,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>182,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>179,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>180,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>180,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>179,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 28: Fahrzeug 4 BMW 530d AVL Messung

Figure 54: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 1

Figure 55: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 2
Figure 56: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 3

Figure 57: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 4

Figure 58: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 5
Figure 59: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 6

Figure 60: Audi A3 AVL Messung 1

Figure 61: Audi A3 AVL Messung 2
Figure 62: Audi A3 AVL Messung 3

Figure 63: Audi A3 AVL Messung 4

Figure 64: Audi A3 AVL Messung 5
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Figure 65: Audi A3 AVL Messung 6

Figure 66: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 1

Figure 67: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 2
Figure 68: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 3

Figure 69: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 4

Figure 70: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 5
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Figure 71: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 6

Figure 72: BMW 530d AVL Messung 1

Figure 73: BMW 530d AVL Messung 2
Figure 74: BMW 530d AVL Messung 3

Figure 75: BMW 530d AVL Messung 4

Figure 76: BMW 530d AVL Messung 5
Figure 77: BMW 530d AVL Messung 6

Figure 78: BMW 530d AVL Messung 7

Figure 79: BMW 530d AVL Messung 8
Figure 80: BMW 530d AVL Messung 9

Figure 81: BMW 530d AVL Messung 10
### 5.2.2. Evaluations of the ASM2050 with and without failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>173,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Luftmassenmesser abgesteckt</td>
<td>461,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 29: Fahrzeug 2 Audi A3 ASM2050 M12-Messung 2 und 3*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>31,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>208,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 30: Fahrzeug 3 Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12-Messung 1 und 3*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>157,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>334,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 31: Fahrzeug 4 BMW 530d ASM2050 M12-Messung 2 und 4*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>184,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Luftmassenmesser abgesteckt</td>
<td>592,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 32: Fahrzeug 2 Audi A3 ASM2050 M123-Messung 1 und 3*
### SET II
Sustainable Emission Test for diesel vehicles involving NO\textsubscript{x} measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>325,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>198,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 33: Fahrzeug 3 Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123-Messung 1 und 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>124,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>324,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 34: Fahrzeug 4 BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 2 und 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>175,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>330,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 35: Fahrzeug 4: BMW 530d ASM2050 A-Messung 1 und 4

Figure 82: Audi A3 ASM2050 M12 Messung 2
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**Figure 83:** Audi A3 ASM2050 M12 Messung 3

**Figure 84:** Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 1

**Figure 85:** Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 3
Sustainable Emission Test for diesel vehicles involving NOx measurements

Figure 86: BMW 530d ASM250 M12 Messung 2

Figure 87: BMW 530d ASM2050 M12 Messung 4

Figure 88: Audi A3 ASM2050 M123 Messung 1
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Figure 89: Audi A3 ASM2050 M123 Messung 3

Figure 90: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 1

Figure 91: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 4
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Figure 92: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 2

Figure 93: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 3

Figure 94: BMW 530d ASM2050 A Messung 1
5.2.3. Evaluations of ASM2050 variants

The tests results from above are completed with these results were the variants in acceleration during the ASM2050 cycle are shown.

Two variants of the ASM2050 cycle were described in the literature review (Pando, 2016). Since vehicles with automatic transmission were also available for testing, a third variant was also added. In variant 1, the cycle is driven from the point T2 in second gear to the end. In variant 2, the second gear changes to third gear at point T4, so that the 50 km / h are driven in third gear. The third variant, which can be run exclusively with automatic transmission equipped vehicles, provides that the test cycle in selector lever position D (Drive) of the transmission is traversed from start to finish. Variant 1 and 2 are driven for vehicles with automatic transmission, depending on the equipment, in selector lever position M (manual) and either with the gear knob in the default gear position switched (M1, 2 or M1, 2, 3) or via shift paddles on the steering wheel.

The following different variants are identified:

- ASM2050 M12 (Variant 1)
- ASM2050 M123 (Variant 2)
- AMS2050 A (Variant 3)
ASM2050 M12 (Variant 1)

Vehicle 1:

Figure 96: Audi Allroad Quattro: ASM 2050 M12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NOₓ in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>250,7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 36: Audi Allroad Quattro: ASM2050 M12
Vehicle 2:

Figure 97: Audi A3 ASM2050 M12 Messung 1

Figure 98: Audi A3 ASM2050 M12 Messung 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>116,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LMM abgesteckt</td>
<td>538,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 37: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 1 und 4
Vehicle 3:

Figure 99: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 2

Figure 100: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand</th>
<th>NOₓ in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>24,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>312,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 38: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 2 und 4
Vehicle 4:

Figure 101: BMW 530d ASM2050 M12 Messung 1

Figure 102: BMW 530d ASM2050 Messung 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NOₓ in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>125,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>342,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 39: BMW 530d ASM2050 Messung 1 und 3
ASM2050 M123 (Variante 2)

Vehicle 1:

![Graph showing NOx emissions over time]

**Figure 103: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro ASM2050 M123**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NOx in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>99,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>304,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 40: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro M123**
Vehicle 2:

Table 41: Audi A3 ASM2050 M123 Messung 2 und 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>108,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Luftmassenmesser abgesteckt</td>
<td>534,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vehicle 3:

Figure 106: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 2

Figure 107: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NOx in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>39,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>358,7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 42: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 2 und 3
Vehicle 4:

**Figure 108: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 1**

**Figure 109: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NOx in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td>123,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td>336,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 43: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 1 und 4*
ASM2050 A (Variante 3)

Vehicle 1:

Figure 110: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro ASM2050 A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NOx in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 in Ordnung</td>
<td></td>
<td>294,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 44: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro ASM2050 A
Vehicle 4:

**Figure 111: BMW 530d ASM2050 A Messung 2**

**Figure 112: BMW 530d ASM2050 A Messung 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messung:</th>
<th>Fahrzeugzustand:</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} in ppm:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>in Ordnung</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AGR-Ventil abgesteckt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>359,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 45: BMW 530d ASM2050 A Messung 2 und 3*
5.3. Lab Tests by DEKRA

5.3.1. Measurement devices

1. **PEMS (Portable emission measurement system) → used as “reference”**
   - manufacturer: AIP (formally MAHA-AIP)
   - type: Gas-PEMS
   - measuring principle for NOx: chemiluminescence detector (CLD)

2. **4-Gas-Analyzer (1)**
   - manufacturer: AVL DiTest
   - type: Gas1000 with option NO (NOx)
   - measuring principle for NOx: 1x electrochemical cell (paramagnetic) for NO (NOx is calculated)

3. **4-Gas-Analyzer (2)**
   - manufacturer: MAHA – Maschinenbau Haldenwang
   - type: MET 6.3 with option NOx
   - measuring principle for NOx: 1x electrochemical cell (paramagnetic) for NO
     1x electrochemical cell (paramagnetic) for NO2

   We used either 4-gas-analyzer (1) or (2), never both.

   Additionally we used a **ECU diagnostic device** for recording of engine speed, vehicle speed, temperatures, ... This device was depending on the vehicle/manufacturer.
   It was a VCDS, AVL-DiTest “XDS 1000”, or a TEXA “Axone”

   For the cycles (ASM2050, DT80) we used a 4-wheel dyno. It was a “MSR500-2” from MAHA
## Test vehicles

### Vehicle No. 1
- **manufacturer:** Volkswagen (VW)
- **type:** Passat (3C)
- **engine type:** Diesel
- **engine displacement:** 2,0 l (1,968 ccm)
- **rated power:** 103 kW / 4.200 1/min
- **Gear:** automatic (VW DSC-system)
- **Date of 1st registration:** 16.05.2011
- **odometer:** 108.000 km
- **Euro class:** Euro 6a (N)
- **Engine code:** CFFB
- **Aftertreatment:** EGR, Oxi-Kat + DPF, SCR-System

### Vehicle No. 2
- **manufacturer:** Volkswagen (VW)
- **type:** Polo (3R)
- **engine type:** Diesel
- **engine displacement:** 1,6 l (1,682 ccm)
- **rated power:** 66 kW / 4.200 1/min
- **Gear:** mechanical
- **Date of 1st registration:** 27.01.2011
- **odometer:** 103.400 km
- **Euro class:** Euro 5a (A)
- **Engine code:** CAYB
- **Aftertreatment:** EGR, Oxi-Kat + DPF
**Vehicle No. 3**

manufacturer: KIA Motors (SK)
type: Sportage 2,0 CRDi (QLE)
engine type: Diesel
engine displacement: 1,9 l (1,855 ccm)
rated power: 100 kW / 4.000 1/min
Gear: mechanical
Date of 1st registration: 31.03.2017
odometer: 3.500 km
Euro class: Euro 6b (W)
Engine code: ?
Aftertreatment: EGR, Oxi-Kat + DPF

**Vehicle No. 4**

manufacturer: Mercedes
type: E 220D (W212)
engine type: Diesel
engine displacement: 2,0 l (1,950 ccm)
rated power: 143 kW / 3.800 1/min
Gear: automatic
Date of 1st registration: 16.05.2017
odometer: 1.800 km
Euro class: Euro 6c (ZD)
Engine code: OM654
Aftertreatment: EGR, Oxi-Kat + DPF (catalytically active), SCR-System

**Vehicle No. 5**

manufacturer: BMW
type: 116 d (F20, 1V71)
engine type: Diesel
engine displacement: 1,6 l (1,496 ccm)
rated power: 85 kW / 4.000 1/min
Gear: mechanical
Date of 1st registration: 12.01.2017
odometer: 3.200 km
Euro class: Euro 6b (W)
Engine code: B37D15U0 (3 cylinders)
Aftertreatment: EGR, DPF, LNT (Lean NOx Trap) / (NSC NOx Storage Catalyst)
5.3.3.  Lab tests Vehicle 1

5.3.3.1.  Installed failure

Vehicle No. 1 is a vehicle with Euro 6(a). It is equipped with a EGR, DOC+DPF and SCR-system.

The installed failure for this vehicle was to manipulate the EGR-system (See also the other vehicles 2 – 5), plus additionally the SCR catalyst was mechanical destroyed by 2 holes (diameter 15 mm) completely through both parts of the SCR catalyst.

The OBD-System didn’t noticed this defects. But at “real driving”, mostly on motorway, the urea (AdBlue) consumption was pretty high, up to one tank filling (about 10 liters) per 1.000 km.
5.3.3.2. ASM2050

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>ASM2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS (and other)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>1 / 2018.20.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Comparison: with/without defect**

Some examples for driving cycles, record of NOx

1.1 **Without defect (w/o defect)**

![Graph showing NOx levels without defect](image1)

1.2 **With defect (w defect)**

![Graph showing NOx levels with defect](image2)
1.3 Direct comparison with/without defect

This measuring (with/without defect) of cause was performed at different dates and times, so the measuring are not directly comparable. But the cycle is well defined, it was always the same driver and shown is for both cases always the same cycle (3, 4, 5,...). With this illustration you can see the different levels for NOx very clear.

Figure 116: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, comparison with & without defect

→ significant higher level of NOx with defect.

1.4 Total and mean values, vehicle 1, ASM 2050

Figure 117: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, summary values
Total ppm over the ASM2050-cycles (always mean of 5 cycles)

![Graph showing NOx measurements with and without defect.]

**Figure 118: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, Mean values**

Mean values of the ASM2050-cycles (always mean of 5 cycles)

### 1.5 Ratios with/without defect:

The table/ ratios are relevant for the total values as well as for the mean values (see graphs above)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</th>
<th>1.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Table 46: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, Ratio with and without defect*

### 2. Further Investigations

#### 2.1 NOx sensor “on board”

Vehicle 1 is equipped with an SCR-system and for this also with an NOx sensor “on board” to control the NOx emissions after the SCR system. Such sensors normally are working among the same principle like a (wide-band) Lambda probe, but are calibrated to NOx.

In this case the signal of the on-board NOx sensor is available by the ECU via a diagnostic scantool (not
within the standardized OBD 1).

At the diagrams above we can see, that for idle speed and for stable conditions (diving constant) the NOx values of the on-board sensor and the (calibrated) PEMS measurement, which was used as “reference”, are amazing similar. For dynamic conditions (acceleration), the “peaks” are different. The NOx peaks of the ECU/onboard sensor are always higher than the measured values by PEMS.

2.2 Investigation of SCR:

A view to the exhaust temperature (available by the ECU) shows that the temperature is below 200 °C at idle speed, but above 200 °C while driving the ASM 2050 cycle.

In this case (vehicle 1) we can readout of the ECU also the triggering of the Urea injection (brown curve). Provided we can trust this readout, there is no injection and for this no SCR function.

This explains measured NOx in 1.2 (no effect by switch off SCR).
(Vehicle 1 is a VW and the investigations was done before the “software update” for dieselgate)

3. Problems with the specific vehicle:

non. Measurements was performed at an 4WD – Dyno, so all wheels are turning at the more or less same speed.

5.3.3.3. DT80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>DT80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS, ECU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>1 / 2018.02.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(VW Passat, DOC+DPF, SCR, Euro 6a)

1. Comparison: with/without defect

we measured
- original state (without defect)
- SCR-defect (mechanical damaged)

shown is always cycle 2 of 3 driven cycles

a. Without defect (original state)

Figure 121: VEHICLE1 DT80, without defect
b. With defect

![Graph showing emission measurements with and without defect](image)

Figure 122: VEHICLE1 DT80, with defect

c. Direct comparison with/without defect

![Graph showing direct comparison of emission measurements](image)

Figure 123: VEHICLE1 DT80, direct comparison with and without defect
1.4 Total and mean values, vehicle 1, DT80 cycle

Figure 124: VEHICLE1 DT80, summary values
Total values (mean of 3 DT80-cycles)

Figure 125: VEHICLE1 DT80, mean values
Mean values of the DT80-cycles (mean of 3 cycles)
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1.5 Ratio with/without defect:

The ratio is relevant for the total values as well as for the mean values (see graphs in 1.4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</th>
<th>3.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 47: VEHICLE1 DT80, Ratio with and without defect

→ high ratio (w/o defect – w defect)
→ very good results, but DT80-cycle needs a relative long time and is very “noisy”
→ so the effort is relatively high

2. Further Investigations

Figure 126: VEHICLE1 DT80, further investigation

→ relatively good correlation of the “on-board sensor” (NOx) with the PEMS measurement. The on-board sensor is in general higher.
→ exhaust temperature for the DT80-cycle is above 200 °C (depending on the place of temp. sensor)
→ SCR/Urea injection is very low (mostly nothing)

Problems with the specific vehicle:
No technical problem accrued
5.3.3.4. AVL Cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>AVL method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(VW Passat, DOC+DPF, SCR, Euro 6a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS, ECU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>1 / 2018.03.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Comparison: with/without defect

- original state (without defect)
- SCR-defect (mechanical damaged)

a. Without defect (original state) some examples of course

![Figure 127: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, without defect](image)

b. With defect some examples of course

![Figure 128: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, with defect](image)
c. Without defect (original state) overview: NOx peaks

![Graph](image-url)

Figure 129: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, without defect overview

1.4 With defect overview NOx peaks

![Graph](image-url)

Figure 130: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, with defect overview

→ dependence acceleration time/NOx. Short acceleration time means high NOx peaks/long acceleration time means low NOx peaks
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- measurings without defect: variance of NO\textsubscript{x} is inside accuracy of measuring (PEMS)
- higher values with defect

![Graph showing NO\textsubscript{x} measurements with and without defect.]

Figure 131: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, with and without defect

### 1.5 Ratios with/without defect:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</th>
<th>1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 48: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, Ratio with and without defect
4. Further Investigations

Problems with the specific vehicle:
No.

→ engine load (by ECU) and torque are not very high at AVL-Method
→ exhaust temperature is not very high at AVL-Method (< 200 °C)
5.3.4. Lab tests Vehicle 2

5.3.4.1. Installed failure

Vehicle No. 2 is a vehicle with Euro 5. It is not equipped with a SCR-system. The only NOx aftertreatment system is the EGR-system.

The installed failure for this vehicle was to manipulate the EGR-system, by reducing the exhaust tube to the air intake with a simple plate out of metal and with a bore in the middle.

The OBD-System didn’t notice this failure over all the time of testing (about 100 km on dyno and on road, many engine starts,…). The indicator lamp (MIL) was off and no trouble code was stored.
5.3.4.2. ASM2050

**Procedure:** ASM2050 (with different Load)

**Vehicle:** No.2 (Polo, EGR, Oxi-Cat + DPF, Euro 5a)

**Measurement:** PEMS

**Version:** 1 / 2018.29.01

**Comparison:** with/without defect

Driving cycles with different load, record of NOx:

a. **Without defect (original condition)**

![Graphs showing NOx emissions and vehicle speed](image)

*Figure 134: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, without defect*
b. With defect

Figure 135: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, with defect

→ vehicle 2 is a Euro 5 vehicle. It is equipped (only) with an EGR-System to reduce NOx (no SCR or LNT)
→ the level of NOx is all in all much higher than the Euro-6-vehicles
→ higher NOx-Values with higher load. At original conditions (without defect) as well as with defect.

For Euro-6-vehicles and original conditions (without defect) we can see no (!) dependence of NOx from the vehicle load. That means an SCR-System reduces NOx very good, provided that it works well
→ NOx measurement by PEMS is very sensible (see waveforms above). We can see little corrections of the accelerator pedal at the NOx-values.
→ Triggering of values for a PEMS is 1 Hz (1 value per second). This is enough for a real RDE measuring over a long time/distance (about 1,5 hour). For a short test period like ASM2050 we need a higher triggering rate (proposal 5 Hz) for having a better measurement.
c. Direct comparison with/without defect

Figure 136: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, comparison with and without defect

→ see also the other vehicles. Difficult to compare, or better: not valid.
Same vehicle, same cycle, same driver, but off course not done in parallel

1.4 Total and mean values, vehicle 2, ASM 2050

Figure 137: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, sumary values

Total ppm/sec. over the ASM2050-cycles (always mean of 3 cycles)
Mean values of the ASM2050-cycles (always mean of 3 cycles)

1.5 Ratios with/without defect:

The table/ratios are relevant for the total values as well as for the mean values (see graphs in 1.4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 N</td>
<td>1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 N</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 N</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 N</td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 49: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, ratio with and without defect

- ratio (n.i.o./i.o.) is not as clearly as at the more modern vehicles (Euro 6)
- compared to the more modern vehicles (Euro 6), the level of NOx is very high especially in i.O.-condition 3-4x

5. Further Investigations

No.

Problems with the specific vehicle:

No.
5.3.4.3. DT80

Comparison: with/without defect

Some examples for driving cycles with different load, record of NOx:

Without defect (original condition)

Figure 139: VEHICLE2 DT80, without defect
With defect

Figure 140: VEHICLE2 DT80, with defect

Direct comparison with/without defect

Figure 141: VEHICLE2 DT80, comparison with and without defect
Total and mean values, vehicle 2, DT80 cycle

Figure 142: VEHICLE2 DT80, total value
Total values (mean of 3 DT80-cycles)

Figure 143: VEHICLE2 DT80, mean value
Mean values of the DT80-cycles (mean of 3 cycles)
Ratios with/without defect:

The table/ratios are relevant for the total values as well as for the mean values (see graphs in 1.4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</th>
<th>1,2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 50: VEHICLE2 DT80, ratio with and without defect

→ ratio (n.i.O./i.O.) is not as clearly as at the more modern vehicles (Euro 6)
→ ratio (n.i.O./i.O.) is the same as for the ASM2050 cycle (but ASM2050 cycle is faster, easier to drive and for this more effective)
→ compared to the more modern vehicles (Euro 6), the level of NOx is very high especially in i.O.-condition 3-4x
→ DT80 tests was performed without “extra load”.

Further Investigations

No.

Problems with the specific vehicle:

No.
5.3.4.4. Short Test Drive

Figure 144: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive
Comparison: with/without defect

Every “cycle” was a short rank (maneuver) for positioning the vehicle in the right direction/change the direction and after this an acceleration up to about 30 - 40 km/h (target).

Without defect

Figure 145: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, without defect

With defect, (see No. 4 installed failure)
Sustainable Emission Test for diesel vehicles involving NOx measurements

→ Reproducible higher level of NOx with defect, but not as strong as Euro 6 vehicles

Reflection of load

→ got some higher load for the tests “without defect” than “with defect”. This might be one reason for having not so clear ratios (with defect/without defect).

→ for the tests the load and engine torque was readout of the ECU of the vehicle. So both are calculated by the ECU and it is not clear how and what are the influences.

→ mean “load” out of 5 driving cycles was 93% for the i.O.-state (without defect) and 68% for the defect state.

→ for the figures above there was selected cycles with comparable load (light blue, 76% and 81% of load). Again the effect of NOx (without defect/with defect) is not as clear as for Euro-6-vehicles, but the level of NOx all in all is much higher than for the Euro-6-vehicles.
→ For road tests the load and/or torque and the “curse” of speed/acceleration have to be well defined (like a little “cycle”).

Summary of the Roaddriving / start-up tests (vehicle 2):
(mean values of 5 cycles each (peaks))

![Figure 148: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, mean values](image)

Ratio between vehicle i.o. and vehicle with defect:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&gt; 30 km/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</td>
<td>1,13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 51: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, ratio with and without defect

→ comparable conditions are necessary
→ more investigations are necessary

Further Investigations
Engine load and exhaust temperature

Engine load, torque and other informations are “often” available by the ECU
→ also the exhaust temperature
→ all this informations are not available within the standardized OBD!
→ the realization of this informations are not clear/standardized
  (e.g. how is the “load” calculated, what influences. Place of temp. sensor, ....)
→ also not all informations are available in every case/vehicle
→ more “trustable” is the vehicle speed and this information is mostly always available
→ out of the vehicle speed the acceleration can easily be calculated.
→ see figures below
Figure 150: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, without defect, further investigation engine load and exhaust temperature

Figure 151: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, with defect, further investigation engine load and exhaust temperature

→ clearer results by using the acceleration (calculated out of the vehicle speed and the time).
→ The level of NOx follows the amount of the acceleration

Problems with the specific vehicle:
No.
5.3.5. Lab tests Vehicle 3

5.3.5.1. Installed failure

Vehicle No. 3 is a vehicle with Euro 6. It is not equipped with a SCR-system. The main NOx aftertreatment system is the EGR-system.

The installed failure for this vehicle was to manipulate the EGR-system, by reducing the exhaust tube to the air intake with a simple plate out of metal and with a bore in the middle.

The OBD-System didn’t notice this failure over all the time of testing (about 100 km on dyno and on road, many engine starts,…). The indicator lamp (MIL) was off and no trouble code was stored.

![Figure 152: VEHICLE3 Installed failure](image)

5.3.5.2. ASM2050

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>ASM2050</th>
<th>(with different Load)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.3</td>
<td>(Kia Sportage 2,0 DTCi, Euro 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>2 / 2017.05.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison: with/without defect**

Some examples for driving cycles with different load, record of NOx:

Significant higher level of NOx when vehicle has a defect (EGR). As well at high load as at low load.
Figure 153: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, comparison with and without failure

Summary of the ASM2050 test (vehicle 3):
(mean values of 2 cycles each)

Figure 154: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, mean values with and without failure
Ratio between vehicle i.o. and vehicle with defect:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</th>
<th>200 N</th>
<th>400 N</th>
<th>600 N</th>
<th>800 N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>3,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 52: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, ratio with and without failure

Further Investigations

Exhaust temperature depending on load

![Exhaust temperature chart](image)

Figure 155: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, further investigation exhaust temperature depending on load

Problems with the specific vehicle:

None. Measurements are done at 4WD – Dyno, so all wheels are turning at the more or less same speed.
### 5.3.5.3. DT80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>DT80 cycle</th>
<th>(with different load)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.3</td>
<td>(Kia Sportage 2,0 DTCi, Euro 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>2 / 2017.05.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison: with/without defect**

Some examples for driving cycles with different load, record of NOx:

![Graph](image1)

![Graph](image2)

![Graph](image3)

![Graph](image4)

*Figure 156: VEHICLE3 DT80, comparison with and without failure*
Summary of the DT80 test (vehicle 3):
(mean values of 2 cycles each)

Measurement PEMS:

![Graph showing NOx measurements for vehicle 3 DT80 with and without defect](image)

Figure 157: VEHICLE3 DT80, summary

Ratio between vehicle i.o. and vehicle with defect:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>200 N</th>
<th>400 N</th>
<th>600 N</th>
<th>800 N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 53: VEHICLE3 DT80, ratio with and without failure

- The differences between “not defect” and “defect” are not very significant for this vehicle. As well at low load as at high load.

Further Investigations

No.

Problems with the specific vehicle:

No.
**Short Road Driving**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>short road driving (starting)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.3 (Kia Sportage 2,0 DTCi, Euro 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS (and other)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>1 / 2017.08.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The “procedure” was a simple start-up and speed up in the first gear. Shift into the second gear and also accelerate. The vehicle thus reached a maximum speed of 30 to 45 km/h at a distance of about 300 meters. The acceleration was in this case (vehicle 3) relatively strong (between 1,7 and 2,2 m/s²) The track was almost flat. The points without load/torque in the graphs are the points of shifting (gear 1 – gear 2).

**Comparison: without defect (left side) / with defect (right side)**

![Graphs showing comparison of short road driving](image-url)
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PROCEDURE: ROADDRIVING (STARTING-UP) (5) / VEHICLE NO. 3
DEFECT: NO / MEASUREMENT: PEMS

PROCEDURE: ROADDRIVING (STARTING-UP) (1) / VEHICLE NO. 3
DEFECT: NO / MEASUREMENT: PEMS

PROCEDURE: ROADDRIVING (STARTING-UP) (1) / VEHICLE NO. 3
DEFECT: EGR MANIPULATED / MEASUREMENT: PEMS

PROCEDURE: ROADDRIVING (STARTING-UP) (2) / VEHICLE NO. 3
DEFECT: EGR MANIPULATED / MEASUREMENT: PEMS

PROCEDURE: ROADDRIVING (STARTING-UP) (4) / VEHICLE NO. 3
DEFECT: NO / MEASUREMENT: PEMS

PROCEDURE: ROADDRIVING (STARTING-UP) (3) / VEHICLE NO. 3
DEFECT: NO / MEASUREMENT: PEMS
To compare the road trips, we tried to cluster them by the engine load. For this we have recorded the calculated load and the calculated torque from the ECU by a diagnostic tool.

To verify these parameters we calculated the acceleration of the vehicle (maximum speed divided by the time to reach the maximum speed) and sorted the graphs above from lower acceleration (about 1.7 m/s², 1st row) to higher acceleration (about 2.2 m/s², last row).

As seen in the graphs, the load and the torque from the ECU is difficult to validate. There is less correlation to the acceleration of the vehicle and – for the study much more relevant – to the emission of NOx.

**Summary of the road driving test (vehicle 3):**

There is a significant higher level of NOx emissions, when the vehicle is defect (EGR, see chapter 4).

Right column (defect) to left column (original). This effect seems to be clearer at lower acceleration/load.

**Ratio between vehicle i.o. and vehicle with defect:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acceleration:</th>
<th>1.7 m/s²</th>
<th>1.8 m/s²</th>
<th>1.95 m/s²</th>
<th>2.0 m/s²</th>
<th>2.1 m/s²</th>
<th>2.2 m/s²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 54: VEHICLE3 Short Test Drive, ratio with and without failure**

There is a need for more investigations in the possibility for real road drivings. These short tests are very dynamic and for this is needed a very good measurement of all relevant parameters with a very high resolution.
Further Investigations

Additional using of a 4/5-Gas analyzer with an electrochemical cell (in this case a AVL-DiTest “Gas 1000” with the option “NOx”). In the 1st examples is also shown the measured NO(x) values of the AVL device (Type “Gas1000” with option NOx, a electrochemical sensor).

Figure 159: VEHICLE3 Short Test drive, further investigation

The AVL-DiTest “Gas 1000” device uses only a NO sensor and is calculating NOx by a known NO2/NO ratio. This is working (compared to a well calibrated PEMS) very good at low idle, but shows always lower values at higher engine/vehicle speed (load ?). Also the measurement devices with electrochemical sensors in the moment seems to be too inert for dynamic test procedures. Further investigations are necessary.

Problems with the specific vehicle:

No. Measurements are done at 4WD – Dyno, so all wheels are turning at the more or less same speed.
5.3.5.4. Capelec Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>CAPELEC method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle: No.3</td>
<td>(Kia Sportage 2.0 DTCi, Euro 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>2 / 2017.05.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison: with/without defect

![Graph showing emission levels comparison](image)

*Figure 160: VEHICLE3 Capelec cycle, comparison with and without failure*
Idle speed: the differences between “not defect” and “defect” are significant. If the EGR-System works correct (state “not defect”), the EGR valve is open at idle speed. This means low NOx. As the EGR is reduced in the state “defect”, there is high NOx.

3.500 1/min: the EGR valve is reduced/closed at this speed also if the system works proper. That means there is no/not a big difference between not defect/defect.

Free acceleration: see 3.500 1/min. At that speed the EGR valve is closed in general. That means no big difference between not defect/defect. As the load is higher the measured NOx is higher than at (constant) 3.500 1/min.

2.500 1/min: the EGR valve is normally open or partial open (see idle speed). That means there should be a significant difference between not defect and defect (EGR manipulated), but on a higher level than at idle speed.

Further Investigations

Function of EGR

The following chart shows the function of EGR at the capelec method.
At idle speed and at “high idle” (2.500 1/min) EGR is in function. At 3.500 1/min and at cut-off speed (free acceleration) the EGR valve is closed.

For the engine speed at 3.500 1/min, see chapter 3: problems with this specific vehicle.

The exhaust temperature increases only at “free acceleration” considerable (150 °C to 202 °C)
Effect of increasing temperatures:

![Graph showing emission test results]

Figure 162: VEHICLE3 capelec cycle, further investigation effect of increasing temperature

We see this effect for principal at all test methods (ASM2050, DT80, AVL,...)

Problems with the specific vehicle:

- Vehicle is equipped with an ‘engine speed limitation’ at standing wheels (2.500 1/min). There was no way (found) to de-activate this function.
- for the tests/investigations the vehicle was placed on a 4-wheel dyno and driven by this dyno (at 15 km/h)
- with this “preparation” and (!) with pressed clutch, it was possible to do a “free acceleration” (4.970 1/min)
- Nevertheless this “preparation” it was not possible to keep 3.500 1/min (or higher) for a longer time than 4-5 seconds. The engine speed automatically reduces down to 2.500 1/min by the engine management.
- because of this fact, stable conditions are difficult or not possible
Figure 163: VEHICLE3 Capelec Cycle, engine speed limitation

5.3.5.5. AVL Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>AVL method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS and AVL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>1 / 2017.05.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison: with/without defect

Measurement: PEMS:

Figure 164: VEHICLE3 AVL cycle, comparison with and without failure (measurement PEMS)
Measurement AVL:

![Graph showing AVL measurements for Vehicle 3 cycle, comparison with and without failure.]

**Figure 165**: VEHICLE3 AVL cycle, comparison with and without failure (measurement AVL equipment)

**Ratio between vehicle i.o. and vehicle with defect (n.i.o.):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PEMS</th>
<th>AVL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio n.i.o./i.o. (referred to the mean values)</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 55**: VEHICLE3 AVL cycle, ratio with and without failure (measurement PEMS and AVL equipment)
Further Investigations

Example for a “slow” acceleration (4 seconds) up to 2.500 1/min (± 150 1/min). All data are from the engine management via a diagnostic tool.

The EGR rate depends mainly at the “load”. This load is calculated by the ECU (engine management) depending on some sensor signals (mainly air mass).

The exhaust temperature is at idle speed below 140 °C and increases at this slow acceleration very low up to max. 150 °C

Figure 166: VEHICLE3 AVL cycle, further investigation, slow acceleration
Problems with the specific vehicle:

- (see also the investigations for the CAPELEC method)
- as the AVL method needs only “high idle” speed (2,500 1/min) and the vehicle 3 is limited at this engine speed, it is much easier to do the AVL method.
- Nevertheless for the investigations the vehicle was placed on a 4 wheel dyno, to have realistic conditions regarding the practice in doing the AVL method and regarding the engine management.
5.3.6. Lab tests Vehicle 4

5.3.6.1. Installed failure

Vehicle No. 4 is a vehicle with Euro 6c. It is equipped with an EGR, an Oxi-Kat + DPF (catalytically active) and a SCR-System. At the moment the highest level of after treatment system solution.

The installed failure for this vehicle was to manipulate the EGR-system by blocking it. Only a small hole (4mm) was open.

The OBD-system did’t detect this defect while the time of measuring (many engine starts, driving on the 4-weel-dyno and on the road).

Figure 167: VEHICLE4, Installed failure
5.3.6.2. ASM2050

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>ASM2050</th>
<th>(with different Load)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>No.4</td>
<td>(Mercedes E 220D, EGR, Oxi-Cat + DPF (catalytically active), SCR-System, Euro 6c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>PEMS (and other)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>1 / 2017.12.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison: with/without defect

Some examples for driving cycles with different (extra) load, record of NOx

without defect

Figure 168: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, without defect
no higher NOx values with higher load!

vehicle 4 (Euro 6c, new vehicle) shows very low NOx

\[ \text{with defect} \]

\[
\text{PROCEDURE: ASM 2050 / EXTRA LOAD: NO / VEHICLE NO. 4}
\text{DEfect: EGR MANIpULATED / CYCLE: NO.4}
\]

\[
\text{PROCEDURE: ASM 2050 / EXTRA LOAD: 4001N / VEHICLE NO. 4}
\text{DEfect: EGR MANIpULATED / CYCLE: NO.4}
\]

\[
\text{PROCEDURE: ASM 2050 / EXTRA LOAD: 8001N / VEHICLE NO. 4}
\text{DEfect: EGR MANIpULATED / CYCLE: NO.1}
\]

Figure 169: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, with defect

\[ \text{\rightarrow significant higher values with build in defect} \]

\[ \text{\rightarrow peak-values seems to depend not very much from the load. See also “without defect”} \]

This means low load seems to be sufficient

\[ \text{Direct comparison with/without defect} \]

These measuring’s (with/without defect) was performed at the well defined cycles, it was always the same driver and shown is for both cases always the same cycle (No. 4). With this illustration you can see the different levels for NOx very clear.
Figure 170: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, comparison with and without defect

→ significant higher level of NOx with defect.

Total and mean values, vehicle 4, ASM 2050

Figure 171: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, summary values

Total ppm over the ASM2050-cycles (always mean of 3 cycles)
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Figure 172: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, mean values

Mean values of the ASM2050-cycles (always mean of 3 cycles)

Ratios with/without defect:

The table/ratios are relevant for the total values as well as for the mean values (see graphs above)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</th>
<th>0 N</th>
<th>400 N</th>
<th>800 N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>14,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 56: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, ratio with and without defect

→ very good detection of defects/failures at high load and at low load

Further Investigations
None

Problems with the specific vehicle

Measurements was performed at an 4WD – Dyno, so all wheels are turning at the more or less same speed.
5.3.6.3. Short Road Driving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>Road - driving (Starting-up)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Mercedes, E 220D EGR, Oxi-Cat + DPF (catalytically active), SCR-System, Euro 6c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS (and other)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>1 / 2017.12.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison: with/without defect

Every “cycle” was a short and “smooth” acceleration up to 20 km/h (target) and after this a rank (maneuver) for positioning the vehicle in the right direction/change the direction.

without defect

with defect

Figure 173: VEHICLE4 Short Drive Cycle, without defect

Figure 174: VEHICLE4 Short Drive Cycle, with defect
All in all very low values for this vehicle (Euro 6c), compared to all other investigated vehicles.

higher level of NOx for the vehicle with installed defect, but the impact of the defect (EGR manipulated, see No. 4) is not very high.

in some cases no increasing of NOx is explainable by the “smooth” drivings/accelerations and for this only low engine load (see charts).

For this vehicle (vehicle 4) it seems that there is a minimum of 80% of engine load needed to detect NOx.

Summary of the Roaddriving / start-up tests (vehicle 4):
(mean values of 4 cycles each (peaks))

![Diagram showing NOx levels for vehicle 4 under different conditions](image)

**Figure 175: VEHICLE4 Short Drive Cycle mean values**

**Ratio between vehicle i.o. and vehicle with defect:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>25-30 km/h</th>
<th>&lt; 10 km/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 57: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, ratio with and without defect*

For vehicle 4 are measured very low NOx values (Euro 6c).

For this there is a need of a high accuracy of measurement and high engine load!

To detect NOx for this vehicle is a minimum of 80% of engine load needed.

This “engine load” is out of the ECU and is calculated by different input values.

The calculation is only known by the vehicle manufacturer and is not standardized.

more investigations are necessary.
Further Investigations

→ Engine load is available by the ECU, but not within the standardized OBD!
→ Engine load in combination with other relevant data, can help to get comparable conditions

Problems with the specific vehicle:

None.
5.3.7. Lab tests Vehicle 5

5.3.7.1. Installed failure

Vehicle No. 5 is a vehicle with Euro 6. It is not equipped with a SCR-system but with an LNT-catalyst (Lean Nox Trap). In addition it is equipped with an EGR-system

The installed failure for this vehicle was to manipulate the EGR-system, by removing a temperature sensor, so that a part of the led back exhaust gas is blowing out (ambient air) and is not coming to the intake air of the engine. As a result the EGR-rate is reduced.

By comparison with the other vehicles, this defect is a relative small defect.

Figure 176: VEHICLE5, installed failure

The OBD-System noticed this defect not direct, but after some tests on the dyno and some driving on the road at the end of the “test session” (about 100 km driving).
5.3.7.2. **ASM2050**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>ASM2050 (with different Load)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.5 (BMW 116d, DPF+LNT, Euro 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS (and other)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>2 / 2017.28.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison: with/without defect**

Some examples for driving cycles with different (extra) load, record of NOx

**without defect**
higher load is producing also higher NOx (200 up to 400ppm), but still very low compared with the failure impact.

with defect
Figure 178: VEHICLES ASM2050, with defect

- significant higher values with build in defect (700 up to 800ppm)
- with defect: peak-values seems to depend not very much from the load
- measurement has a very good resolution. Driving behavior can be recognized direct and evaluated.

**Direct comparison with/without defect**

These measurements (with/without defect) were performed at different dates and times. But the cycle was well defined, it was always the same driver and shown is for both cases always the same cycle (3, 4, 5,...). With this illustration you can see the different levels for NOx very clear.

Figure 179: VEHICLES ASM2050, comparison with and without defect

- with defect we have significant higher level of NOx. Although the installed failure is in this case (vehicle 5) with likely low impact (EGR, see chapter 4). The effect on NOx concentration is increasing at
higher load.

**Total and mean values, vehicle 5, ASM 2050**

![Figure 180: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, summary values with and without defect](image)

Total ppm/sec. over the ASM2050-cycles (always mean of 3 cycles)
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Figure 181: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, mean values with and without defect

Mean values of the ASM2050-cycles (always mean values out of 3 cycles)

**Ratio with/without defect:**

The table/ratios are relevant for the total values as well as for the mean values (see graphs above)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</th>
<th>200 N</th>
<th>400 N</th>
<th>600 N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 58: VEHICLES ASM2050, ratio with and without defect*

→ very good detection of defects/failures at high load and at low load. High load seems not be necessary.
Further Investigations

exhaust temperature (and load)

\[ \text{Figure 182: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, further investigation exhaust temperature and load (200N)} \]

\[ \rightarrow \text{calculated engine load is normally available by the engine management, but not by the standardized OBD, therefore an generic scan tool can interrogate this value.} \]

\[ \rightarrow \text{exhaust temperature at low load is also at low leve. Only in peaks over 200 °C} \]

\[ \text{Figure 183: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, further investigation exhaust temperature and load (600N)} \]

\[ \rightarrow \text{higher exhaust temperatures (mostly > 200°C) at higher load. Also higher amount of load (total)} \]

Measurement

Additionally to the PEMS measurement a device from MAHA is used for the measurements. It was a 4-gas-analyzer (Type “MET 6.1/6.3”) with an chemical sensor for NO and an NO2.
the NO/NO2-sensors was calibrated before the measurings (by MAHA). The values shows a very good resolution and a very good correlation to the PEMS, but we can see a constant offset of about 30% (always plus). Maybe a problem of the calibration (?)

Problems with the specific vehicle:

No. Measurements was performed at an 4WD – Dyno, so all wheels are turning at the more or less same speed.
5.3.7.3. Short Road Driving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure:</th>
<th>Road driving (Starting-up)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle:</td>
<td>No.5 (BMW 116d, DPF+LNT, Euro 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>PEMS (and other systems)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td>1 / 2017.06.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison: with/without defect

Every “cycle” was a short rank (maneuver) for positioning the vehicle in the right direction/change the direction and after this an acceleration up to 30 km/h (target).

without defect

Figure 185: VEHICLE5 Short Test Drive, without defect
Level of NOx with defect is significantly higher. As the installed failure is in this case (vehicle 5) minor (EGR, see chapter 4)

Also for very low vehicle speed (< 10 km/h) significant high NOx. This could be an alternative to a dyno-cycle.
Summary of the Road - driving / start-up tests (vehicle 5):
(mean values of 4 cycles each (peaks))

![Figure 187: VEHICLES Short Test Drive, mean values](image1)

Ratio between vehicle i.o. and vehicle with defect:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>25-30 km/h</th>
<th>&lt; 10 km/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 59: VEHICLES Short Test Drive, ratio with and without defect*

- Also at low vehicle speed (start-up at < 10 km/h) it seems to be an alternative to an dyno-test
- Comparable conditions are necessary to described
- More investigations are necessary

Further Investigations

Engine load

![Figure 188: VEHICLES Short Test Drive, further investigations on engine load](image2)
→ Engine load is available by the ECU, but not within the standardized OBD
→ using vehicle acceleration in combination with cal. Engine load and other conditions can be a definition for a high repeatability

Problems with the specific vehicle: None.
5.3.7.4. **AVL Evaluation**

**Comparison: with/without defect**
we measured
- original state (“without defect”)
- EGR manipulated by removing the temperature sensor (“with defect”, see No. 4)

compared to the other vehicles this failure is very “small” because the leakage is small

**without defect (original state) some examples of course**

**with defect some examples of course**

![Graphs showing emission test results](image)

**Figure 189: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, without defect**

**Figure 190: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, with defect**
without defect (original state) overview NOx peaks

Figure 191: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, without defect peak values

with defect overview NOx peaks

Figure 192: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, with defect peak values
dependence acceleration time/NOx. Short acceleration time means high NOx peaks/long acceleration time means low NOx peaks
measurings without defect: variance of NOx is inside accuracy of measuring (PEMS)
higher values with defect

Figure 193: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, comparison with and without defect

The diagram above is just to visualize the difference between “without“ and “with” defect. The acceleration times was “accidentally” and are not comparable.

Ratios with/without defect:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio n.i.o./i.o.</th>
<th>1,5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 60: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, ratio with without defect
Further Investigations

Figure 194: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, further investigation

- exhaust temperature is not very high at AVL-Method (< 200 °C) and engine load (by ECU) is not very high at AVL-Method (maximum 50%)

Problems with the specific vehicle:

No.
With stationary wheels, the engine is limited at 2.500 1/min (cut-off)
5.3.8. Reproduction of measurements ASM2050

Vehicle 1
Manufakturer: BMW; Type: X5; First registration: 12/2006

5 measurements:

![Graph showing NOx measurements for Vehicle 1 ASM2050](image1)

Figure 195: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (1)

Measurement cycles

![Graph showing measurement cycles](image2)

Figure 196: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (2)
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Vehicle 2
Manufacturer: VW; Type: Amarok

20 measurements:

Figure 197: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (3)

Figure 198: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (1)
Figure 199: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (2)
Vehicle 3
Manufakturer: VW; Type: Golf VI

15 measurements:

Figure 200: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (1)

Measurement cycles

Figure 201: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (2)
6. Field tests results

Figure 202: Field tests results NOx and NOx mean over Euro Class

Euro 3: The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NOx value
Figure 203: Field tests results, Euro 3: The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NOx value
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**Euro 3:** The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NO\textsubscript{x} value

![Figure 204: Field tests results, Euro 3: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NO\textsubscript{x} value](image)

**Euro 4:** The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NO\textsubscript{x} value

![Figure 205: Field tests results, Euro 4: The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NO\textsubscript{x} value](image)
Euro 4: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NOx value
Figure 206: Field tests results, Euro 3: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NOx value

**Euro 5:** The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NOx value

Figure 207: Field tests results, Euro 5: The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NOx value
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**Euro 5:** The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NO\textsubscript{x} value

![Graph showing lowest NO\textsubscript{x} values for Euro 5](image)

Figure 208: Field tests results, Euro 5: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NO\textsubscript{x} value

**Euro 6:** The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NO\textsubscript{x} value

![Graph showing highest NO\textsubscript{x} values for Euro 6](image)

Figure 209: Field tests results, Euro 6: The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NO\textsubscript{x} value
Euro 6: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NOx value

Figure 210: Field tests results, Euro 6: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NOx value
Glossary of terms

The following table provides definitions for terms relevant to this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Acceleration in [m/s²]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Frontal surface in [m²]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>Anti-lock braking system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASM</td>
<td>Acceleration simulation mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>Oregon Bureau of Automotive Repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>Black Carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CADC</td>
<td>Common Artemis driving Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARB</td>
<td>California Air Resources Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFET</td>
<td>Capacitive-Coupled Field-Effect Transistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Conformity Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUEDC</td>
<td>Composite Urban Emissions Drive Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVS</td>
<td>Constant volume sampling (system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cᵣ</td>
<td>Drag coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyl</td>
<td>Engine displacement in [cm³]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Diesel oxidation catalyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPF</td>
<td>Diesel particulate filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTC</td>
<td>Diagnostic trouble code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>Emission Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR</td>
<td>Exhaust gas recirculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOBD</td>
<td>European on-board diagnostics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 1, 2, 3, ...</td>
<td>Emission standards for passenger cars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro I, II, III ...</td>
<td>Emission standards for large goods vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FID</td>
<td>Flame ionization detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>Fourier-transform Infra-red spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTP</td>
<td>(US) Federal Test Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDV</td>
<td>Heavy Duty Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGV</td>
<td>Heavy Good Vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/M</td>
<td>Inspection and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>International Organization for Standardization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Kelvin, unit of measure for temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDDV</td>
<td>Light-Duty Diesel Vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDV</td>
<td>Light Duty Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLSP</td>
<td>Laser-Light-Scattering Photometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNT</td>
<td>Lean NO\textsubscript{x} trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Vehicle mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAF</td>
<td>Mass Air Flow (sensor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{m}_{\text{air}} )</td>
<td>Air Mass flow in [kg/h]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL</td>
<td>Malfunction indicator lamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Revolutions per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDIR</td>
<td>Non-dispersive infrared absorption spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDUV</td>
<td>Non-dispersive ultraviolet absorption spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEDC</td>
<td>New European Drive Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Nitric oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Nitrogen dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>Nitrogen oxides (NO + NO\textsubscript{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>Ozone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBD</td>
<td>On-board diagnostics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHMS</td>
<td>On-road Heavy-duty Emissions Measurement System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIML</td>
<td>L’Organisation internationale de métrologie légale or The International Organization of Legal Metrology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEMS</td>
<td>Portable Emission measurement system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Particulate Matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>Particulate Numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTI</td>
<td>Periodic technical inspection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Readiness code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDE</td>
<td>Real Driving Emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>Revolutions per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD</td>
<td>Remote Sensing Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAE</td>
<td>Society of Automotive Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>Selective catalytic reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC</td>
<td>Total hydrocarbon emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USEPA</td>
<td>United States Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>Vehicle speed expressed in [m/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSP</td>
<td>Vehicle Specific Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v\textsubscript{w}</td>
<td>Wind velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLTC</td>
<td>World-Harmonized Light-duty Vehicle Test Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
<td>Oxygen/Combustibles balance (Lambda)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\rho_{\text{air}}</td>
<td>Air density in [kg/m\textsuperscript{3}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\rho_{\text{remplissage}}</td>
<td>Engine filling ratio (Capelec)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of figures

Figure 1 - Analysis of inlet charge composition. (Taken from Ladommatos et al, 2000). ........................................... 10
Figure 2 - NOx control technologies in EU. .................................................................................................................. 14
Figure 3 - NOx control technologies in US. Diesel market share in US: 0.8 %. (Taken from ICCT, 2015) ..................... 14
Figure 4 - figure descriptive technologies effectiveness from DeNOx, optimalisation (Taken from the Association for Emissions Control by Catalyst [AECC], 2015.) ................................................................. 15
Figure 5 - Principle of the combined gas after treatment system. (Taken from Chatterjee et al., 2010) ....................... 16
Figure 6 - (Taken from the Association for Emissions Control by Catalyst [AECC], 2015.) ........................................ 16
Figure 7 - Euro IV transient NOx on WLPT cycle. (Taken from Cambustion Application Note CLD04v01, n.d. c) ..... 21
Figure 8 - Measurement of engine-out NOx for transient SCR urea dosing accuracy. (Taken from Cambustion Application Note CLD02v01, n.d. a) .................................................. 22
Figure 9 - Sensors Inc. SEMTECH-DS (reproduced by kind permission of Sensors Inc.). ........................................... 23
Figure 10 - SAXON-Junkalor Infralyt ELD (reproduced by kind permission of SAXON-Junkalor). ....................... 24
Figure 11 - MAHA MET 6.3 (reproduced by kind permission of MAHA). .............................................................. 24
Figure 12 - Autocal P5S0 (reproduced by kind permission of Autocal) ................................................................. 25
Figure 13 - CAP3600 (reproduced by kind permission of Capelec) ............................................................... 26
Figure 14 - DS50 test (Kolominskas et al., 2005) ........................................................................................................ 34
Figure 15 – ASM2050 test. ......................................................................................................................................... 35
Figure 16 - DT80 (indicative graph): Speed [km/h] as a function of time [s] (Vyt, 2008) ........................................ 40
Figure 17 – DT60 (indicative graph): Speed [km/h] as a function of time [s] (Vyt, 2008) .......................................... 40
Figure 18 - AC5080 simplified indicative graph: Speed [km/h] as a function of time [s] (Vyt, 2008) ................. 41
Figure 19 – IM240 simplified indicative graph: Speed [km/h] as a function of time [s] (Vyt, 2008) ................. 42
Figure 20 - NOx emissions over the test cycles at 25°C. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013) ........................................ 46
Figure 21 - Cumulative NOx emissions of vehicle “F” (SCR) operated on CADC at 25°C. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013) ...................................................................................................................... 46
Figure 22 - NOx emissions at 25°C and -7°C on NEDC and WLTC cycle. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013) .......... 47
Figure 23 - Cumulative NOx emissions of Diesel vehicles on NEDC at 25°C. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013) ...... 47
Figure 24 - Cumulative NOx emissions of Diesel vehicles on cold-start WLTC at 25°C. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013) .............................................................................................................. 47
Figure 25 - Cumulative NOx emissions of Diesel vehicles on CADC at 25°C. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013) .... 47
Figure 26 - Vehicle “E” (LNT) cumulative NOx emissions on low- and medium-speed phases of WLTC at 25°C. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013) ...................................................................................................................... 48
Figure 27 - Vehicle “F” (SCR) cumulative NOx emissions on low- and medium-speed phases of WLTC at 25°C. (Taken from Favre et al., 2013) ......................................................................................... 48
Figure 28 - Real time NOx emissions on NEDC cycle with cold and hot start. (Taken from Kadijk et al., 2015a) .... 48
Figure 29 - Heavy Duty NOx and EGR control. (Taken from Cambustion Application Note CLD03v01, n.d. b) .... 49
Figure 30 - Delay in EGR delivery during gear change. (Taken from Cambustion Application Note NDIR05v02, n.d. d) .............................................................................................................................................. 49
Figure 31 - NOx emissions due to EGR delay. (Taken from Cambustion Application Note NDIR05v02, n.d. d) .......... 50
Figure 32 - NOx emissions after repair of PM problems compared with the NOx emissions standards. (Taken from McCormick et al., 2003) ........................................................................................................ 50
Figure 33 - VSP. (Taken from Jimenez et al., 1999b) ........................................................................................................ 53
Figure 34 - the CARB Test Cycle “Power Curve”. (Taken from Chernich, 2003) ..................................................... 55
Figure 35 - KD-147 Mode. (Taken from Park, 2015) ...................................................................................................... 56
Figure 36 - Correlation for free acceleration test ........................................................................................................ 58
Figure 37 - Correlation for lug-down test ...................................................................................................................... 58
SET II
Sustainable Emission Test for Diesel Vehicles involving NOx Measurements

Figure 38 - Correlation for DT80 test. ................................................................. 58
Figure 39 - Correlation for AC2540 test. ............................................................... 58
Figure 40 - Capelecs engine filling ratio \( \frac{\text{premliisage}}{<1} \) and \( \frac{\text{premliisage}}{>1} \). (reproduced by kind permission of Capelec). ...................................................... 60
Figure 41 - "Capelec" cycle to test EGR. (reproduced by kind permission of Capelec). ................................................................. 61
Figure 42 - Illustrative example of CO\(_2\) tailpipe concentrations as a function of engine speed from a vehicle fitted with an EGR system. (Taken from Norris, 2005) ................................................................. 62
Figure 43 - Illustrative example of O\(_2\) tailpipe concentrations as a function of engine speed from a vehicle fitted with an EGR system. (Taken from Norris, 2005) ................................................................. 63
Figure 44 - Vehicle "1" – Norris-B cycle: emissions at idle when EGR functional. (Taken from Norris, 2005) .................. 64
Figure 45 - Vehicle "1" – Norris-B cycle: emissions at idle when EGR disabled. (Taken from Norris, 2005) .................. 64
Figure 46 - Vehicle "2" – Norris-B cycle: emissions at idle when EGR functional. (Taken from Norris, 2005) .................. 64
Figure 47 - Vehicle "2" – Norris-B cycle: emissions at idle when EGR disabled. (Taken from Norris, 2005) .................. 64
Figure 48 - AVL unloaded idle cycle for NO\(_x\) measurement. (Taken from Schweiger, 2016) ......................................................................................................................... 66
Figure 49 – Test vehicle TÜV NORD. .................................................................. 71
Figure 50 – Results ASM2050 Variant 1. ............................................................. 72
Figure 51 – Results ASM2050 Variant 2. ............................................................. 72
Figure 52 – NO\(_x\) emissions in relation to engine speed. ........................................ 73
Figure 53 – NO\(_x\) emissions in relation to engine speed. ........................................ 73
Figure 54: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 1 ............................................. 81
Figure 55: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 2 ............................................. 81
Figure 56: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 3 ............................................. 82
Figure 57: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 4 ............................................. 82
Figure 58: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 5 ............................................. 82
Figure 59: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung 6 ............................................. 83
Figure 60: Audi A3 AVL Messung 1 ................................................................. 83
Figure 61: Audi A3 AVL Messung 2 ................................................................. 83
Figure 62: Audi A3 AVL Messung 3 ................................................................. 84
Figure 63: Audi A3 AVL Messung 4 ................................................................. 84
Figure 64: Audi A3 AVL Messung 5 ................................................................. 84
Figure 65: Audi A3 AVL Messung 6 ................................................................. 85
Figure 66: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 1 ............................................................. 85
Figure 67: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 2 ............................................................. 85
Figure 68: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 3 ............................................................. 86
Figure 69: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 4 ............................................................. 86
Figure 70: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 5 ............................................................. 86
Figure 71: Opel Meriva AVL Messung 6 ............................................................. 87
Figure 72: BMW 530d AVL Messung 1 ............................................................. 87
Figure 73: BMW 530d AVL Messung 2 ............................................................. 87
Figure 74: BMW 530d AVL Messung 3 ............................................................. 88
Figure 75: BMW 530d AVL Messung 4 ............................................................. 88
Figure 76: BMW 530d AVL Messung 5 ............................................................. 88
Figure 77: BMW 530d AVL Messung 6 ............................................................. 89
Figure 78: BMW 530d AVL Messung 7 ............................................................. 89
Figure 79: BMW 530d AVL Messung 8 ............................................................. 89
Figure 80: BMW 530d AVL Messung 9 ............................................................. 90
Figure 81: BMW 530d AVL Messung 10 ......................................................... 90
Sustainable Emission Test for diesel vehicles involving NO\textsubscript{x} measurements

Figure 82: Audi A3 ASM2050 M12 Messung 2 ................................................................. 92
Figure 83: Audi A3 ASM2050 M12 Messung 3 ................................................................. 93
Figure 84: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 1 ............................................................... 93
Figure 85: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 3 ............................................................... 93
Figure 86: BMW 530d ASM250 M12 Messung 2 ................................................................. 94
Figure 87: BMW 530d ASM2050 M12 Messung 4 ................................................................. 94
Figure 88: Audi A3 ASM2050 M123 Messung 1 ................................................................. 94
Figure 89: Audi A3 ASM2050 M123 Messung 3 ................................................................. 95
Figure 90: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 1 ............................................................... 95
Figure 91: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 4 ............................................................... 95
Figure 92: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 2 ................................................................. 96
Figure 93: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 3 ................................................................. 96
Figure 94: BMW 530d ASM2050 A Messung 1 ................................................................. 96
Figure 95: BMW 530d ASM2050 A Messung 4 ................................................................. 97
Figure 96: Audi Allroad Quattro: ASM 2050 M12 ................................................................. 98
Figure 97: Audi A3 ASM2050 M12 Messung 1 ................................................................. 99
Figure 98: Audi A3 ASM2050 M12 Messung 4 ................................................................. 99
Figure 99: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 2 ............................................................... 100
Figure 100: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 4 ............................................................... 100
Figure 101: BMW 530d ASM2050 M12 Messung 1 ............................................................... 101
Figure 102: BMW 530d ASM2050 Messung 3 ................................................................. 101
Figure 103: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro ASM2050 M123 ......................................................... 102
Figure 104: Audi A3 ASM2050 M123 Messung 2 ................................................................. 103
Figure 105: Audi A3 ASM2050 M123 Messung 4 ................................................................. 103
Figure 106: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 2 ............................................................... 104
Figure 107: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 3 ............................................................... 104
Figure 108: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 1 ............................................................... 105
Figure 109: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 4 ............................................................... 105
Figure 110: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro ASM2050 A ............................................................... 106
Figure 111: BMW 530d ASM2050 A Messung 2 ................................................................. 107
Figure 112: BMW 530d ASM2050 A Messung 3 ................................................................. 107
Figure 113: installed failure vehicle 1 ........................................................................ 111
Figure 114: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, without defect ............................................................... 112
Figure 115: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, with defect ................................................................. 112
Figure 116: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, comparison with & without defect .................................................. 113
Figure 117: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, summary values ............................................................... 113
Figure 118: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, Mean values ................................................................. 114
Figure 119: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, further investigation NO\textsubscript{x} sensor .................................................. 115
Figure 120: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, further investigation of SCR ................................................. 115
Figure 121: VEHICLE1 DT80, without defect ................................................................. 116
Figure 122: VEHICLE1 DT80, with defect ................................................................. 117
Figure 123: VEHICLE1 DT80, direct comparison with and without defect ........................................... 117
Figure 124: VEHICLE1 DT80, summary values ................................................................. 118
Figure 125: VEHICLE1 DT80, mean values ................................................................. 118
Figure 126: VEHICLE1 DT80, further investigation ............................................................... 119
Figure 127: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, without defect ............................................................... 120
Figure 128: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, with defect ................................................................. 120
Figure 129: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, without defect overview
Figure 130: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, with defect overview
Figure 131: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, with and without defect
Figure 132: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, further investigation
Figure 133: VEHICLE2, installed failure
Figure 135: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, without defect
Figure 136: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, with defect
Figure 137: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, comparison with and without defect
Figure 138: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, summary values
Figure 139: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, mean values
Figure 140: VEHICLE2 DT80, without defect
Figure 141: VEHICLE2 DT80, with defect
Figure 142: VEHICLE2 DT80, comparison with and without defect
Figure 143: VEHICLE2 DT80, total value
Figure 144: VEHICLE2 DT80, mean value
Figure 145: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive
Figure 146: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, without defect
Figure 147: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, with defect
Figure 148: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, reflection of load
Figure 149: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, mean values
Figure 150: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, further investigation engine load and exhaust temperature
Figure 151: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, without defect, further investigation engine load and exhaust temperature
Figure 152: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, with defect, further investigation engine load and exhaust temperature
Figure 153: VEHICLE3 Installed failure
Figure 154: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, comparison with and without failure
Figure 155: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, mean values with and without failure
Figure 156: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, further investigation exhaust temperature depending on load
Figure 157: VEHICLE3 DT80, comparison with and without failure
Figure 158: VEHICLE3 DT80, sumary
Figure 159: VEHICLE3 Short Test Drive, comparison with and without failure
Figure 160: VEHICLE3 Short Test drive, further investigation
Figure 161: VEHICLE3 Capelec cycle, comparison with and without failure
Figure 162: VEHICLE3 Capelec Cycle, further investigation function of EGR
Figure 163: VEHICLE3 capelec cycle, further investigation effect of increasing temperature
Figure 164: VEHICLE3 Capelec Cycle, engine speed limitation
Figure 165: VEHICLE3 AVL cycle, comparison with and without failure (measurement PEMS)
Figure 166: VEHICLE3 AVL cycle, comparison with and without failure (measurement AVL equipment)
Figure 167: VEHICLE3 AVL cycle, further investigation, slow acceleration
Figure 168: VEHICLE4, Installed failure
Figure 169: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, without defect
Figure 170: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, with defect
Figure 171: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, comparison with and without defect
Figure 172: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, summary values
Figure 173: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, mean values
Figure 174: VEHICLE4 Short Drive Cycle, without defect
Figure 175: VEHICLE4 Short Drive Cycle, with defect
Figure 176: VEHICLE4 Short Drive Cycle mean values ................................................................. 161
Figure 177: VEHICLE5, installed failure ................................................................................... 163
Figure 178: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, without defect ................................................................. 165
Figure 179: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, with defect ........................................................................ 166
Figure 180: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, comparison with and without defect .................................. 166
Figure 181: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, summary values with and without defect ......................... 167
Figure 182: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, mean values with and without defect ............................. 168
Figure 183: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, further investigation exhaust temperature and load (200N) ........................................................................................ 169
Figure 184: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, further investigation exhaust temperature and load (600N) ........................................................................................ 169
Figure 185: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, further investigation exhaust temperature and load ........... 170
Figure 186: VEHICLE5 Short Test Drive, without defect ......................................................... 171
Figure 187: VEHICLE5 Short Test Drive, with defect ............................................................... 172
Figure 188: VEHICLE5 Short Test Drive, mean values ........................................................... 173
Figure 189: VEHICLE5 Short Test Drive, further investigations on engine load ...................... 173
Figure 190: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, without defect ................................................................. 175
Figure 191: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, with defect ....................................................................... 175
Figure 192: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, without defect peak values ............................................... 176
Figure 193: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, with defect peak values .................................................... 176
Figure 194: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, comparison with and without defect ............................... 177
Figure 195: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, further investigation ......................................................... 178
Figure 196: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (1) ................................. 179
Figure 197: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (2) ..................................... 179
Figure 198: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (3) ..................................... 180
Figure 199: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (1) ..................................... 180
Figure 200: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (2) .................................... 181
Figure 201: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (1) ..................................... 182
Figure 202: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, reproduction of measurement (2) .................................... 182
Figure 203: Field tests results NO\textsubscript{x} and NO\textsubscript{y} mean over Euro Class .......... 183
Figure 204: Field tests results, Euro 3: The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NO\textsubscript{x} value .......................................................... 184
Figure 205: Field tests results, Euro 3: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NO\textsubscript{x} value .......................................................... 185
Figure 206: Field tests results, Euro 4: The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NO\textsubscript{x} value .......................................................... 185
Figure 207: Field tests results, Euro 3: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NO\textsubscript{x} value .......................................................... 187
Figure 208: Field tests results, Euro 5: The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NO\textsubscript{x} value .......................................................... 187
Figure 209: Field tests results, Euro 5: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NO\textsubscript{x} value .......................................................... 188
Figure 210: Field tests results, Euro 6: The diagrams of the vehicle with the highest NO\textsubscript{x} value .......................................................... 188
Figure 211: Field tests results, Euro 6: The diagrams of the vehicle with the lowest NO\textsubscript{x} value .......................................................... 189
List of tables

Table 1 - Document history. .................................................................................................................. 2
Table 2 - Type approval emission Limits (g/km) of the successively introduced Euro emission standards
for passenger cars................................................................................................................................. 6
Table 3 - The effect of EGR operation on emissions performance Type 1 test. (Created from Norris,
2005).................................................................................................................................................... 11
Table 4 - Overview of the main technologies for the control of NOx emissions from Euro 6 Diesel
passenger cars. (Taken from Yang et al., 2015).................................................................................. 17
Table 5 - Diesel technology requirements for control of conventional pollutants. EU regulations. (Taken
from Posada et al., 2012)...................................................................................................................... 18
Table 6 - Existing equipment .................................................................................................................. 22
Table 7 - overview specifications NOx equipment .................................................................................. 29
Table 8 - US Federal 3-Mode test – loaded points ............................................................................... 32
Table 9 - Clayton Key Mode test........................................................................................................... 33
Table 10 – CalVIP test............................................................................................................................ 33
Table 11 – Summary of PTI emission tests and limit values for non-EU countries (N/A = not available). 44
Table 12 - Summary of PTI emission tests, from the TEDDIE study, which does have a NOx measurement
test procedure ....................................................................................................................................... 54
Table 13 - Summary of testing protocols for I/M programs. (Taken from Possada et al., 2015).............. 55
Table 14 - Correlation between short tests and CUEDC. (Taken from Anyon et al., 2000). .................... 57
Table 15- Correlation between short tests and CUEDC – Mass Normalised. (Taken from Anyon et al.,
2000)..................................................................................................................................................... 58
Table 16 - Evaluation of short tests (10= highest potential value, 1= no value). (Taken from Anyon et al.,
2000)..................................................................................................................................................... 59
Table 17 - EGR function at low engine speed. (Created from Norris, 2005)............................................ 63
Table 18 - AVL Ramp test results. (Created from Schweiger, 2016)...................................................... 66
Table 19 - RSD's Strengths and Limitations. (Created from e.g. Borken-Kleefeld, 2013 and website Opus
inspection) ............................................................................................................................................ 68
Table 20 – Test vehicles TÜV SÜD. ....................................................................................................... 75
Table 21 – Fahrzeugdaten Audi A4 Allroad 2.0 TDI .............................................................................. 76
Table 22: Fahrzeugdaten Audi A3 1.6 TDI ............................................................................................. 77
Table 23: Fahrzeugdaten Opel Meriva 1.6 TDI....................................................................................... 78
Table 24: Fahrzeugdaten BMW 530d .................................................................................................... 79
Table 25: Fahrzeug 1 Audi A4 Allroad Quattro AVL Messung .............................................................. 80
Table 26: Fahrzeug 2 Audi A3 AVL Messung ...................................................................................... 80
Table 27: Fahrzeug 3 Opel Meriva AVL Messung ................................................................................. 80
Table 28: Fahrzeug 4 BMW 530d AVL Messung .................................................................................. 81
Table 29: Fahrzeug 2 Audi A3 ASM2050 M12-Messung 2 und 3 ......................................................... 91
Table 30: Fahrzeug 3 Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12-Messung 1 und 3 ................................................... 91
Table 31: Fahrzeug 4 BMW 530d ASM2050 M12-Messung 2 und 4 ................................................... 91
Table 32: Fahrzeug 2 Audi A3 ASM2050 M123-Messung 1 und 3 ...................................................... 91
Table 33: Fahrzeug 3 Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123-Messung 1 und 4 ................................................. 92
Table 34: Fahrzeug 4 BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 2 und 3 ........................................ 92
Table 35: Fahrzeug 4: BMW 530d ASM2050 A-Messung 1 und 4 ......................................... 92
Table 36: Audi Allroad Quattro: ASM2050 M12 ................................................................. 98
Table 37: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 1 und 4 ..................................................... 99
Table 38: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M12 Messung 2 und 4 ..................................................... 100
Table 39: BMW 530d ASM2050 Messung 1 und 3 ............................................................... 101
Table 40: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro M123 ................................................................. 102
Table 41: Audi A3 ASM2050 M123 Messung 2 und 4 ......................................................... 103
Table 42: Opel Meriva ASM2050 M123 Messung 2 und 3 ................................................... 104
Table 43: BMW 530d ASM2050 M123 Messung 1 und 4 ..................................................... 105
Table 44: Audi A4 Allroad Quattro ASM2050 A ............................................................... 106
Table 45: BMW 530d ASM2050 A Messung 2 und 3 .......................................................... 107
Table 46: VEHICLE1 ASM2050, Ratio with and without defect ......................................... 114
Table 47: VEHICLE1 DT80, Ratio with and without defect .................................................. 119
Table 48: VEHICLE1 AVL cycle, Ratio with and without defect .......................................... 122
Table 49: VEHICLE2 ASM2050, ratio with and without defect ........................................... 128
Table 50: VEHICLE2 DT80, ratio with and without defect .................................................. 132
Table 51: VEHICLE2 Short Test Drive, ratio with and without defect ................................... 136
Table 52: VEHICLE3 ASM2050, ratio with and without failure .......................................... 141
Table 53: VEHICLE3 DT80, ratio with and without failure .................................................. 143
Table 54: VEHICLE3 Short Test Drive, ratio with and without failure ................................... 146
Table 55: VEHICLE3 AVL cycle, ratio with and without failure (measurement PEMS and AVL equipment) .......................................................... 152
Table 56: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, ratio with and without defect ........................................... 159
Table 57: VEHICLE4 ASM2050, ratio with and without defect .......................................... 161
Table 58: VEHICLE5 ASM2050, ratio with and without defect .......................................... 168
Table 59: VEHICLE5 Short Test Drive, ratio with and without defect ................................... 173
Table 60: VEHICLE5 AVL cycle, ratio with without defect .................................................. 177
List of Equations

Equation 1: Formation of NO, Zeldovich part 1 ........................................................................... 7
Equation 2: Formation of NO, Zeldovich part 2 ........................................................................... 7
Equation 3: Formation of NO, Lavoie, Heywood & Keck (1970) .............................................. 7
Equation 4: Formation of NO\textsubscript{2} ...................................................................................... 8
Equation 5: NO\textsubscript{2} is converted back to NO ...................................................................... 8
Equation 6: SCR NO\textsubscript{x} reduction reaction 1 ................................................................. 12
Equation 7: SCR NO\textsubscript{x} reduction reaction 2 ................................................................. 12
Equation 8: SCR NO\textsubscript{x} reduction reaction 3 ................................................................. 12
Equation 9: Reaction between NO in the exhaust gas and ozone O\textsubscript{3} ................................ 19
Equation 10: Q as the CO/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio ................................................................................ 52
Equation 11: Q’ as the HC/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio ............................................................................... 52
Equation 12: Q'' as the NO/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio ............................................................................. 52
Equation 13: Emission Factor CO as given by Bisshop (2014) .................................................. 52
Equation 14: Emission Factor HO as given by Bisshop (2014) .................................................. 52
Equation 15: Emission Factor NO as given by Bisshop (2014) .................................................. 52
Equation 16: Calculation of the vehicle-specific power as specified by Jimenez (1999a) ........ 53
Equation 17: Capelecs engine filling ratio $\rho_{\text{remplissage}}$ ......................................................... 60
SET II
Sustainable Emission Test for diesel vehicles involving NOx measurements