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THE STUDY:

• The following slides are representing the status quo of the study
• „Impact study to estimate road safety and economic effects of the introduction of PTI in Turkey”
• conducted for CITA
• by Prof. Dr. Wolfgang H. Schulz and Sebastian Scheler in May 2017.
OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:

• show impact of the introduction of PTI;
• gather data;
• empirical evidence and model;
• recommendations for actions in other countries.
SHORT HISTORY OF PTI IN TURKEY:

• Historically there was a system of simple technical check-ups and verification of papers;
• Turkey decided to introduce directive 96/96/EC.;
• Two regions tendered: both were won by the German company TÜVSÜD and two Turkish partners (Akfen and Doğuş) by the end of 2004;
• As of today there are 204 fixed, 5 motorcycle, 76 mobile and 13 mobile tractor stations;
• New stations are opened, depending on increased demand.
DATA, STATISTIC TOOLS AND LIMITATIONS:

- Gathering publicly available, as well as paid for, data from TÜİK (Turkish Statistical Institute) and TÜVTÜRK as well as the World Bank and the General Directorate for Security in Turkey;
- Processing of the data in Excel, R and SPSS;
- Choice of models (Pure time-series model & Pure traffic-parameter model);
- Assessing the plausibility of the data.
SAFETY IMPACT ASSESSMENT:
Two empirical analyses were undertaken:

1. Short-term safety impact assessment of PTI introduction in Turkey;

Objectives:

• A complete introduction of PTI on a state level in one year is difficult to reach;
• However, the acceptance of PTI by the car users can be increased by feasible traffic safety improvements;
• The short-term safety impact assessment tries to find out whether an incomplete introduction of PTI generates feasible traffic safety impacts even in the year of introduction;
• The long-term safety impact assessment shows the general welfare shift of an economy by PTI enabling resource savings by avoiding accidents.
In the following two graphics, the development of accident numbers and their consequences is illustrated.

While traffic accidents with injuries are increasing over time, the number of accidents with fatal consequences is falling after a local peak in 2007.
Development of injured in road accidents over the period from 2000 to 2015
(own illustration; data source: TÜIK 2016)
Development of fatalities from road accidents over the period from 2000 to 2015
(own illustration; data source: TÜIK 2016)
RESULTS OF THE MODELING:

The following slides will present the results of the modelling. The results represent the status quo of the project. We are working on refining the model and gather more data in order to improve the resilience of the model. For detailed questions please contact the authors. You can find the contact information on the first page.
Short-term safety impact assessment of PTI introduction in Turkey:

**The functional relation:**

\[ AC_t = \beta_1 LR_t + \beta_2 LDR_t + \beta_3 NPC_t + \beta_4 GDP_{t+1} + \beta_5 GDP_{t+3} + \beta_6 GDP_{t+7} + \lambda t + \sum_{j=1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8}^{T-1} d_j D_j + e_{t,t} \]

- With \( AC_t \) as dependent variable measuring the effects on the number of road accidents.
- With \( e \) as unobserved random variable adding noise to the relationship between dependent variable and predictors.
- The predictors are explained on the next slide.
- Where \( d_j \) is the coefficient of the Dummy \( D_j \) for introducing PTI, the latter equal to one-year \( j \), zero elsewhere.
- Where \( \lambda \) is the coefficient on the time trend increasing with equal step.
- With F-Value = 1612.840 (Sig. 0.000) for all predictors.
- With adjusted R square of 0.99.
## Coefficients of the Short-Term Impact Assessment Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>T-value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t (trend)</td>
<td>-1.524</td>
<td>-4.217</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (dummy for introducing PTI)</td>
<td>-.315</td>
<td>-4.254</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR (length of all roads)</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>1.586</td>
<td>.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDR (length of divided road)</td>
<td>.986</td>
<td>5.732</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPC (number of passenger cars)</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>2.709</td>
<td>.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP (t+3)</td>
<td>.381</td>
<td>3.495</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP (t+1)</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>1.695</td>
<td>.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP (t+7)</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.577</td>
<td>.577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a. Dependent Variable: Accidents (AC)*

*b. Linear Regression through the Origin*
SUMMARY OF SHORT-TERM SAFETY IMPACT ASSESSMENT:

Statistical Summary:

1. R-Values and F-Values are significant and show the statistical goodness of the selected model approach;
2. t-values are significant and show the trustworthiness of each variable and that they have a statistically significant impact on the model results.
Impact of the introduction of the current model of PTI in Turkey – short term

- Annual reduction of 82,925 accidents during the 1st year
- US$ 274 million of savings during the 1st year*

* Using the Turkish cost-unit rates of US$ 3,342
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM ANALYSIS:

• The main difference between both models is the chosen dependent variable;
• For the short-term model the dependent is the number of road accidents;
• For the long-term model the dependent is number of accidents with fatal consequences related to population;
• Four models were tested;
• Model 1 started only with the Dummy $D_j$ for introducing PTI;
• Subsequently variables were added to control for different effects;
• Dummy $D_j$ is overall significant and the coefficient is relatively stable.
### Long-term Safety Impact Assessment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>87.70***</td>
<td>88.67***</td>
<td>87.27***</td>
<td>86.03***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.92)</td>
<td>(4.65)</td>
<td>(4.64)</td>
<td>(3.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dummy variable for introduction of PTI</td>
<td>-35.00***</td>
<td>-35.83***</td>
<td>-34.78***</td>
<td>-32.02***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(7.06)</td>
<td>(7.47)</td>
<td>(7.31)</td>
<td>(6.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-7.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(19.46)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP growth (lag 1 year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(19.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(35.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Square</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R Square</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)</td>
<td>16.62</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>15.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05
SUMMARY OF LONG-TERM SAFETY IMPACT ASSESSMENT:

Statistical Summary:

1. Four models were tested and all models have significant R-Values and F-Values;
2. t-values of each model are also significant;
3. The models differ a little bit in the variable. However, comparing the values of the regression coefficient for the relevant variable the “Dummy variable for introduction of PTI” of each model shows only a small fluctuation, which is an expression for the trustworthiness of the coefficient value.
Impact of the introduction of the current model of PTI in Turkey – long term

2,700 fatalities avoided since PTI was introduced
102,000 less accidents on average
US$ 340 million of savings on average*

* Using the Turkish cost-unit rates of US$ 3,342

I2C GMBH: PROF. DR. WOLFGANG H. SCHULZ AND SEBASTIAN SCHELER MSc
OVERALL SUMMARY OF RESULTS:

Short Term – first year
✓ Annual reduction of 82,925 accidents
✓ US$ 274 million of savings*

Long Term – annual average
✓ 2,700 fatalities avoided since PTI was introduced
✓ 102,000 less accidents
✓ US$ 340 million of savings*

Furthermore...
✓ Economical impact of fatality savings to be calculated when unitary costs are available
✓ Potential increase of benefit when coverage rises from 87% to 100%

* Using the Turkish cost-unit rates of US$ 3,342
**Further Research Needs:**

Full Economic Assessment of PTI Introduction is needed

1. Comparable cost-unit rates for fatalities and injuries
2. Costs of implementation, enforcement and operation
3. Direct and indirect employment effects (PTI, garage industry)
4. GDP-effects because of higher quality of cars
5. Consumer effects by longer life-cycle of cars
**Further Research Needs:**

**Stakeholder**
- Car users
- PTI Industry
- Garage Industry
- Insurance Industry
- Car dealer
- Police
- Public authorities

**Analyt. Goal**
- **Primary effects:** Benefits and costs on user level, industry level
- **Secondary effects:**
  - Fiscal effects
  - Employment effects

**Economic Tools**
- Cost-benefit analysis
- Break-even analysis
- Input-output analysis
- Financial analysis
- Incidence analysis
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